# LETTER

FROM

# THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR,

TRANSMITTING

Final report of the Hot Springs Commissioners, with accompanying schedules.

January 6, 1880.—Referred to the Committee on Public Lands and ordered to be printed, with accompanying documents.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, Washington, January 5, 1880.

SIR: I have the honor to transmit herewith a copy of the final report of the Hot Springs Commissioners, together with schedules showing the names of the parties in whose favor awards were made; number and valuation of lots; a list of persons to whom certificates were issued for property condemned; and also a copy of a communication filed with the Commissioners on the 10th of December, 1879, and letter from chairman transmitting the same.

The communication referred to above contains certain charges against the chief clerk of the Commission, and questions the fairness of the awards

made in several cases.

As the department has not the power to compel the attendance of witnesses or the production of papers, nor the means at its disposal to conduct an investigation into the charges made, I have deemed it my duty to lay the matter before your honorable body for such action as may be judged advisable.

Under existing law no appeal from the decision of the Commission can be entertained by the department, the act of March 3, 1877, having clothed the Commissioners with authority to finally determine the right of each claimant or occupant at Hot Springs to purchase the land claimed

or occupied by them.

It is made the duty of the Secretary of the Interior, within thirty days after the Commissioners shall have filed their report and map, to instruct the United States land officers at Little Rock (Arkansas) land district to allow said lands to be entered as provided by law.

The time within which the instructions are to be forwarded to the local

land office will expire January 14, 1880.

Under the 8th section of the act of March 3, 1877, the Commissioners issued certificates for property condemned to the amount of \$74,696.

No provision has been made for the payment of these certificates. It

has been suggested that they be made receivable in payment for the lands at Hot Springs; and I commend this suggestion to the consideration of Congress.

Very respectfully,

C. SCHURZ, Secretary.

The Hon. the President of the Senate.

#### Report of the Hot Springs Commission.

Office of Hot Springs Commission, Hot Springs, Ark., December 11, 1879.

SIR: The Commissioners appointed under the acts of Congress of 1877 and 1878, relative to the Hot Springs of Arkansas, respectfully report that the claims for the right to purchase land have all been adjudicated, the records made up, the appraisements have been made, the surveys have been completed, and the unreserved land laid out as a town. Certificates for condemned buildings and for the right of the claimants to purchase have been made out, and but few remain to be delivered. The obstructions have been removed from the streets and the permanent reservation and the maps provided for have been prepared, and all the work contemplated by law has been completed. The following papers, documents, records, maps, and plats are filed with the Secretary of the Interior, to wit:

1st. All of the petitions filed with the Commission for the right to purchase land and for condemned buildings, with the testimony offered by the claimants in the several claims and the findings of the Commission.

2d. A record of all the proceedings of the Commission, which includes all the orders and findings made by the Commission in the several claims; the Commission holding it to be more advisable to put their findings in this form than in the shape of loose papers in each petition or claim. This record is made up in two large record-books.

3d. A docket of all the petitions or claims filed, kept in the usual style of court dockets, furnishing a reference to the orders of the Commission in each claim. These claims were docketed and numbered in the order

of filing.

4th. A schedule, as provided by law, showing the name of each claimant and of each lot or parcel of land, the appraised value thereof, and numbers to correspond with such claim upon the map.

5th. Appraisement books, containing the valuation of each lot or tract of land in the reservation remaining to be sold and of the improvements thereon.

6th. A map of the entire reservation of four sections, as provided by law. This map is accompanied by maps of the several quarter sections in the reservation which show the alignment of the streets by distances, angles, and curves, the dimensions and areas of lots, the position of monuments, and other details.

The map of the entire reservation is on the scale of 200 feet to the inch, and the data could not all be put upon it for lack of space. The divisional maps are on a scale of 100 feet to the inch, which is entirely

too large for a general map.

These accompanying maps are made a part of the principal map, and

contain all the data required by law in addition to those on the principal map.

Reference is made to the report of Col. A. P. Robinson, the engineer

of the Commission, for more particular details upon this subject.

Much difficulty was experienced in making the proper surveys on account of irregularities in the surface and equally great irregularities in the lines of claims and existing streets, which the law required to be observed as far as possible. A more regular plan for both streets and lots might have been adopted but for the recognition in a large measure of the previously existing condition of the town.

7th. Maps in sections, showing the several claims as nearly as possible as originally made by the claimants. These claims were imperfectly described in the petitions, and the plats filed with them were inaccurately drawn in many instances, but with sufficient certainty to enable the Commission by the aid of personal inspection, by surveys, and by testimony,

to fix their true location upon the ground.

It was impossible to put these claims upon the general map.

The number of certificates issued for the right to purchase land is 647. These certificates have been issued from books provided for that purpose with stubs, upon which, in addition to the substance of the matter contained in the certificate, is a receipt from the claimant or his agent or

attorney

The number of certificates for condemned buildings is 172, and the amount covered by them is the sum of \$74,696. These certificates were issued from books provided for the purpose, and with proper stubs showing the substance of the certificate, and containing a receipt of the person to whom issued or his agent or attorney; a list of these certificates is filed with this report.

The entire amount of land laid off into blocks and lots as a town is 1,270 acres; of these, 699.81 acres have been awarded to claimants.

A block (No. 114) containing 3.62 acres has been set apart as a site

for the public building of Garland County.

The Hot Springs Mountain Reservation contains 264.93 acres. The remainder of the four sections consists of hills and mountains, and is almost entirely unfit for building and occupation, upon which streets could be constructed only with great difficulty and expense. These mountains are largely covered with trees, being unoccupied, and are for the most part unclaimed. They have not been adjudicated to any one, and are of that class of lands which remain under the law to be sold at public auction. They have been laid off into large lots, not divided by streets, and should be reserved as public parks.

The appraisement has been made at what the Commission believe to be considerably less than the actual value of the land. The mount ain districts are laid off in large tracts, and have been appraised at such a rate as for the present to prevent their sale, with a view to their reser-

vation as public parks by act of Congress.

The appropriations made for the use of the Commission during the present year amount to \$27,500; the expenditures, to \$27,500; also \$1,808, the condemned-building fund.

The report of the disbursing officer, Albert O. Morgan, esq., hereto appended, shows in detail the receipts and expenditures of the Commission

mission.

In the condemnation and removal of buildings, the Commission had no funds with which to make removals and no place to which to remove them. The condemned buildings were for the most part put up for sale at public auction as a means of removal and sold to the highest bidder.

These sales brought to the Commission the sum of \$1,811.77, and a detailed statement of them is recorded in the record-books of the Commission. A large portion of this sum has been expended in the employment of a party, under the direction of the engineer, in causing the removal of buildings, fences, and other obstructions, and determining for claimants their lines upon the streets.

The residents of the place have conformed to the orders of the Commission by removing their fences and buildings not condemned from the streets and reservation without resistance or ill-will. Immediately after the organization of the Commission a public notice was given cautioning all persons against building, lest their structures might be placed in

the streets and public places which might be laid out.

The Commission deem it advisable to recommend that an appropriation be made to pay off the certificates issued for condemned buildings, or, in case this cannot be done, that they be made receivable at the land office at Little Rock in payment for lots to be sold in the Hot Springs Reservation. It is obvious that these certificates for condemned buildings are promises, on the part of the government, to pay the settlers for the losses caused by a total or partial destruction of their buildings of a highly equitable nature.

The Commission further recommend that the proceeds of the sales of lots be appropriated by law to the improvement of the permanent reservation and the streets of the town adjoining at the earliest practicable day. These improvements are greatly needed, and, if judiciously made, will add greatly to the value of the property of the United States, as

well as that of individuals in this place.

The Commission also recommend a reservation by Congress from sale of all the land included in the blocks covering the mountains. These lands are not needed for any purpose but as public grounds or parks, covered by shade-trees. Sites for school-houses should be reserved in different portions of the town. There are many unclaimed lots in convenient places which will furnish ample and convenient sites for school-houses. It would not be improper also to set apart certain lots for sites for churches. The religious organizations here are very poor and feeble, and a little aid to them would be of lasting benefit to this community.

The Secretary of the Interior has already placed the care of the lands unclaimed in the hands of the superintendent of the reservation, and has given him instructions. We have only to add that nothing could be more important to the interests of the residents here and of the United States than to give the superintendent the fullest powers to prevent trespass and injury to lands and timber. It might not be improper to set apart a tract of land for the temporary residence, without charge, of certain poor persons who are unable to pay for boarding or baths. This might be done under direction of the superintendent.

We also recommend that photolithographic copies of the maps be made for the use of the land-office at Little Rock and of the residents of

Hot Springs.

Situated upon Valley street, and upon the Hot Springs Mountain Reservation, there was a large number of houses which had been condemned in a general order on June 30, 1877. This order was practically annulled by the subsequent action of the Commission, they having decided that a special condemnation should be had under each petition. On the night of the 5th day of March, 1878, these buildings were destroyed by fire.

All these buildings were subject to condemnation and removal. Testi-

mony had been taken as to their ownership and value prior to their destruction, which is preserved with the petitions on file.

These buildings were not specially condemned on account of the constant occupation of the Commission in taking testimony before and up

to the time of the fire.

Had these buildings remained, they would have been condemned and certificates of their value issued; but being burned, the Commission held it not to be within their power to issue certificates for them.

Our action in these cases is a matter of record, wherein it appears that the claims for the value of these buildings were rejected for the sole rea-

son that they were burned.

We invite the attention of the Secretary of the Interior to the testimony and the record in these cases, and we recommend that suitable legislation be had, based thereon, giving to the sufferers by the fire, who owned these buildings, some compensation or other proper relief in the premises.

Respectfully,

AARON H. CRAGIN. JOHN COBURN. M. L. STEARNS.

Hon. CARL SCHURZ, Secretary of the Interior.

#### Engineer's Office, Hot Springs Commission, December 11, 1879.

GENTLEMEN: I have the honor to report to you the completion of the surveys of this reservation, and to submit to you the accompanying plans:

First. A general plan, on a scale of 200 feet to 1 inch, showing the laying out of the streets, avenues, alleys, blocks, and lots. The blocks are numbered from No. 1 to 194, inclusive, and the lots are numbered

separately in each block.

Second. A series of sixteen divisional plans, on a scale of 100 feet to 1 inch, each plan representing one-sixteenth part of the reservation. These divisions are distinguished by letters, and are indicated on the general plan by corresponding letters and boundaries. Upon these are shown all the dimensions of streets, avenues, alleys, blocks, and lots, all curves and angles, the position of all monuments, &c., it being impracticable to delineate all the necessary detail upon the general plan.

The lots not awarded to claimants are distinguished by being colored, except the mountain blocks deemed impracticable for streets and blocks. These are left uncolored, as are also the lots granted to claimants.

Third. A series of sixteen divisional plans, on the same scale, and lettered to correspond with the previous series, exhibiting the various claims filed with the Commission, being in exact accordance with the plans and descriptions of the claimants, and laid down as nearly as possible in the position indicated by them. They are of an infinite variety of shapes and sizes, often several covering the same ground, and, where not covering it in toto, overlapping and conflicting. Dividing lines, about which, in fact, there were no conflicts between adjacent claimants, were described by different courses and distances. Some of the claims had no courses described. Many, where there was an affectation of precision, described impossible pieces. Nearly all were bounded by their

neighbors, and these in their turn by theirs, and few had any initial point by which it could be determined in what part of a division they were situated. A majority of them began at a corner of the piece described and ended at the place of beginning. As far as possible these conflicting lines and vague and incorrect claims have been adjusted by surveys during the past summer, having reference to fences, buildings, and other evidences of improvement. The adjustment of these claims has been a most difficult and vexatious task, and has consumed more

time of the engineering force than all the rest of their duties.

This reservation, although nominally two miles square by sectional numbers, contains but 2,529.10 acres. From the northeast to the southwest it is divided by a range of precipitous mountains known as the North Mountain, and Hot Springs Mountain in the northeast portion, and as West Mountain in the southwest portion. This range is divided near the center of the reservation by a deep gorge, through which run the waters of the Hot Springs Creek. The northwest corner of the reservation is occupied by Sugar-loaf Mountain. All the area between the last named and the range before described and all the available area south of this range to the south line of the reservation has been laid out in streets, avenues, blocks, and lots. The gorge between the mountains before referred to is the only possible thoroughfare between these two sections.

All the avenues and streets have been laid out with reference to the topography of the site, and but a few present any long straight lines, but the result is a system which can be graded and embellished at a comparatively small cost, while a more regular plan regardless of topography is unfitted for the site, and would have entailed an expense

which would preclude any great improvement for years.

It has been deemed an important element in the plan that the two main water channels of the reserve, viz: Hot Springs Creek and Gum Spring Creek, should be so absorbed by the streets as to be always under the control of the government or of the municipal authorities, in order to prevent their defilement. Even with the present population, there are times when during the dry summer months they become mere open sewers through the center of the town, offensive in the highest degree and detrimental to health.

A considerable portion of the city south of the mountains being more particularly a business district, that part, with the exception of Grand and Central avenues, has been laid out with right lines and angles, while that portion north of the mountain, being rural in its character and more particularly calculated for residences, has been generally laid out with curves, not by any fixed design, but governed entirely by its topography, thus admitting of improvement and embellishment at a minimum of cost, without at all impairing its beauty when settled and occupied.

The lines shown in the general plan upon the mountain blocks are simply designed to suggest the location of possible carriage roads which may be constructed for drives at some future day, and those marked "Cañon st." and "Mountain st.," extending from Central avenue to the northeast end of the summit of West Mountain, although not practicable as streets, have been laid down as bridle roads or as lines, on either of which an inclined railway elevator for attaining the top of the mountain may be constructed.

All corners, angles, and points of curves have been defined by iron monuments, of which 1,050 have been used. At all the crossings of the division lines, and at all the intersections of these lines with the exterior lines of the reservation and with main avenues, as well as at all the

angles of the line inclosing the permanent reserve, granite monuments have been placed, on which are inscribed letters and figures indicating the lines they define. Ninety of these have been used. There are, in the aggregate, 49.96 linear miles of streets, avenues, and alleys of various widths, as follows:

	Feet.
150 feet in width	450
132 feet in width	3,089
125 feet in width	20,769
100 feet in width	685
85 feet in width	785
80 feet in width	7,953
75 feet in width	400
70 feet in width	26,510
66 feet in width	1,240
62 feet in width	1,045
60 feet in width	53, 245
52 feet in width	200
50 feet in width	60,372
40 feet in width	55,709
30 feet in width	13,018
Alleys under 30 feet	13,832
Hot Springs Railroad	4,510
Total area	263, 812

The total number of blocks laid out into lots is 196. The number of lots awarded to individuals is:

	· Acres.
1,435, containing	699, 81
Lots not awarded, 584	570.29
Lots not awarded, 584 Area of streets and alleys	358. 37
Permanent reserve	264.93
North Mountain	224.74
Sugar-loaf Mountain	129.02
West Mountain	281, 94
Total area	2 529 10

It is to be regretted that the provisions of the law creating this Commission were such as in your judgment to compel you to adopt a lot system, adhering, with all possible strictness, to the lines of claimants. This has, in many cases, produced an irregularity which is objectionable, but under your construction of the law it is unavoidable. Where claim lines did not prevent, a system as regular as the shape of the blocks would permit has been adopted.

I cannot close this report without referring to the zeal and ability with which I have been sustained by my principal assistants, Mr. Charles B. Fisher and Mr. Samuel Hamblin.

I am, your most obedient servant,

A. P. ROBINSON, Chief Engineer.

Hons. A. H. CRAGIN, JOHN COBURN, M. L. STEARNS, Commissioners.

NEW YORK, December 30, 1879.

DEAR SIR: I inclose herewith a statement of John J. Sumpter and others, presented to the Hot Springs Commission on the 10th instant. When this communication was received the Commission was very busily engaged in preparing their report, maps, schedule, appraisals, findings, and other matters for transmittal to your department, and in preparing and issuing certificates and in doing other detail work. The commission decided that this was not a paper to be filed with the testimony in the Sumpter claim, but rather to be presented to the Interior Department

as a miscellaneous paper.

Our first idea was to investigate the allegation in this statement in relation to our clerk; but after fully estimating the work of the commission that remained to be done I found it was absolutely impossible to do this and finish our work. Such an investigation in the then condition of our work, and the limited time for its completion, involved a failure to perform the duties of the commission, as required by law. It was only by the greatest exertions, and the employment of extra force, and by working Sunday and nights, that we were able to complete the work by midnight on the last day of our term, December 15, 1879.

The circumstances in relation to the presentation of this statement are peculiar. After the decision in the Sumpter claim, John J. Sumpter made great efforts to have the case reopened, and to have that part of decision in the Gaines claim, awarding said Gaines certain lots that were also embraced in the Sumpter claim, reversed, and to have the same awarded to the Sumpters. He intimated to me more than once that if this was not done, and this part of their claim allowed them, he should have his attorney prepare a statement which would embarrass the commission; that he did not wish to do this, and would not do it if the decision could be changed and they allowed the lots in question. He said he was desperate, and was bound to attempt, by any means in his power, to gain what he considered his right. When he finally presented this statement to the commission he remarked that he had no charges to make against the commission, and that if their claim was allowed he wanted the privilege of withdrawing the statement.

In making the decisions in the Sumpter and Gaines claims, I was governed by what I considered the law and evidence in the cases, and had no knowledge of the matters and things alleged in said statement; and the other members of the board, I have no doubt, had no knowledge

thereof.

Respectfully, yours,

A. H. CRAGIN.

Hon. C. SCHURZ, Secretary of the Interior.

> THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Hot Springs, Ark., December, 1879.

In the matter of the claim of Elizabeth Sumpter, William Sumpter, and John J. Sumpter, numbered 737, consolidated with the claim of Wm. H. Gains and Maria Gains, numbered 784; before the Hot Springs Commissioners.

Now come Elizabeth Sumpter, William Sumpter, and John J. Sumpter, the above petitioners, and state that lots numbered 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16, in block numbered 86, as mapped out, platted, and laid off by the Hot Springs Commissioners, are situated in the original Hot Springs Reservation.

That in the year 1844 James Sumpter, husband of petitioner Elizabeth, and father of petitioners William and John J. Sumpter, purchased the possession of the land embracing said lots from one Wright, who had improvements on, and an occupancy of the same. That he thereafter until his death in Sentember, 1861, possessed and occupancy of the same of the land occupancy of the land occupancy of the same of the land occupancy of the same of the land occupancy occupancy of the land occupancy occupa the same. That he thereafter until his death, in September, 1861, possessed and occupied them in good faith, making other valuable improvements. That after his death petitioner Elizabeth and her children occupied them until July, 1864, when all of their improvements being destroyed by fire, and said property being situate between the raiding or scouting parties of the Federal and Confederate armies, she abandoned it temporarily, but with a view of returning and occupying it so soon as these diffi-culties should cease. That in 1865 the said petitioners returned in pursuance of their

intent, which had never been abandoned, and occupied said lots, inclosing them and using them as the front yard of houses built by them for use in the immediate rear thereof, and passing over and through them constantly and daily to and from their houses, one of which was a hotel, to the only public road or street then in the Hot Springs Valley, upon which their said houses fronted. That they continued so to occupy them until and after the 24th day of April, 1876, and until after the government receiver, A. O. Morgan, took possession of and rented out a portion thereof, the said petitioners having first applied to and rented the same from him and paid him \$1,000 therefor, together with other lands. That said Morgan, in violation of the tenancy of said petitioners, and after he had received said money as rent therefor, rented four of said lots to other persons. That they, the petitioners, on the 24th day of April, 1876, and prior thereto, had improvements upon all of said lots, within the spirit and meaning of the act of Congress entitled "An act in relation to the Hot Springs Reservation," of the State of Arkansas, approved March 3, 1877, and were bona-fide occupants of the same; and that no other person, save James Sumpter's venture. dors, ever had any improvements upon, or occupancy of, said lots, within the sense of said act or otherwise. That in October, 1877, the said petitioners Elizabeth, William, and John J. Sumpter, under the provisions of said act, filed their said petition 737, accompanied with a map, before the said Hot Springs Commissioners, wherein they described, with other land claimed by them, the said lots, and prayed of said commission a right to purchase them from the United States, by reason of improvement and occupancy, under and within the provision of said act. That shortly thereafter Wm. occupancy, under and within the provision of said act. H. Gaines, and Maria Gaines, his wife, who, as the testimony shows, never invested a dollar in the way of improvement upon said lots or any of them, filed their petition numbered 784, before said commissioners, wherein they pray of said commission an award to them of the right to purchase the land embraced in said lots, together with a very large quantity of land situated in the original reservation of Hot Springs, Ark., embracing a number of minor claims, and of other lots claimed by said Sumpters. That the only foundation of said claim by said Gains and wife was a fraudulent certificate which was procured by said Gaines in favor of the heirs of Ludoncies Belding, and which was introduced in evidence, was obtained by foul practices and upon the representation of said Gains to the Secretary of the Interior that he would never demand a patent upon said certificate. That after the filing of said petition, said claims were, by order of said commission, consolidated. That the evidence in support of them, respectively, was thereafter introduced, and showed, in support of the petition of the said Sumpters, their use of said lots and the character of their improvements thereon, together with their long occupancy thereof. That the evidence in behalf of said Gains and wife showed the said certificate of entry to have been obtained upon the representations aforesaid; a suit by him and the Belding heirs, based upon said "certificate of entry" against said James Sumpter, and a compromise of said suit upon an agreement between him and the said Sumpter upon a mere nominal rent until the courts should pass upon validity of said certificate, after which, if said certificate should be held invalid, the right of possession should remain in said Sumpter, otherwise in said Gains and Beldings.

Petitioners, Elizabeth, William, and John J. Sumpter, say that the evidence on file-in said cases shows the above facts to be conclusively true, and shows further that in 1866, after the said petitioners, Elizabeth, William, and John J. Sumpter, had taken the occupancy and use of said lots, and inclosed the same, the said Gaines and Beldings instituted in the Hot Springs circuit court actions of unlawful detainer and forcible entry and unlawful detainer against said Elizabeth and William Sumpter, wherein they charged and acknowledged the possession of said lots and land to be in said Elizabeth and William; that said suits were by agreement between the attorney of said Gaines and Beldings, Judge Walkins, and the attorney of said Elizabeth and William, H. H. Garland, present United States Senator from the State of Arkansas, continued with consent of the respective parties until such times as the validity of said certificate should be finally passed upon, when, if the same should be held valid, judgment should go in favor of said Gaines and Beldings, otherwise in favor of said Elizabeth and William Sumpter, in whom the right of possession and occupancy was as between the parties to continue; the said suits have been continued from that date and never tried, and

And petitioners further say, that after all the evidence in said cases was introduced and after the year in which the commissioners' work was to have been done had passed, William Nelson, an officer with the rank of major in the United States Army, retired, and residing in Hot Springs, Arkansas, approached petitioners John and William, and told them that A. O. Morgan was to act as clerk to said commissioners upon their return to resume their duties, and that the object of his appointment was a secret, and cautious representations through him of their interest and motives touching their official action, in which it would not be safe for them to act openly; and further stated that if they, the Sumpters, would convey one hundred front feet of the front part of their claim the whole of their claim would be allowed. That he had

that said Sumpters have ever since been the occupants of said lots.

letters from said Morgan authorizing him to make such arrangements, and assuring him that the action of said commissioners would in that behalf be controlled by him, the said Morgan; that after some days of delay and negotiation the said Nelson agreed to accept fifty front feet of said claim, running back to the section line between sections 32 and 33, in township 2 south of range 19 west. That said Sumpters agreed to give this and executed to said Nelson a deed therefor in his own name, the said Nelson telling them that he was acting in the matter under the instructions of said Morgan. That after said deed was executed the said Nelson told said Sumpters that said Morgan would be at Hot Springs in a few days, and that he was instructed to wait till his arrival; that upon the arrival of said Morgan said Nelson came to said Sumpters, told them that Morgan would do nothing for them unless they deeded to him one-half of their claim, and that he, Nelson, considering said demand unreasonable, had used harsh language toward the said Morgan in consequence thereof; in consequence of which they had fallen out and that said Nelson assured the aaid Sumpters that he had no interest whatever in the matter, but merely desired to see them get their property on the best terms they could, knowing that they were entitled to the same, but that a portion thereof would be exacted from them as the condition of granting the prayer of their petition. That said Nelson told the Sumpters that Morgan's demand for onehalf of the land included in their claim was unreasonable, and they then refused to

give the same.

That afterwards, during the summer of 1879, ex-United States Senator Powell Clayton came to Hot Springs at different times and at different times asked petitioner John J. Sumpter if petitioners had arranged their affairs in relation to their claim before the commissioners, and advised him to hold no further conversation with Major Nelson; told him that petitioners would have to give up one-half of the front portion of their claim embracing said lots to get the balance. That said John J offered said Clayton one-third thereof. Said Clayton refused the offer, saying that said Gaines would do better than that. That afterwards said Clayton told said John J. to go to said Morgan and negotiate with him, but not to talk any more with said Nelson. That at one of these numerous conversations, said Clayton offered to pay the government for the whole of the front portion of said claim and insure the award to said Sumpters of the whole of their claim if they would convey to him one-half of said front portion; that said Clayton during these conversations spoke of a strip of ground occupied with houses lying between Central avenue and Hot Springs Creek, which had been condemned by the said commissioners, or so published, and the said Clayton then told said petitioner John J. that said condemnation would be reversed, and the right to purchase the land awarded to the occupants thereof if trades could be made, and requested and authorized said John J. to purchase for him all claims of occupants upon said strip that he could for fifteen dollars per front foot. This conversation occurred during the summer of 1879; that there were different conversations between said John J. and said Clayton in relation to this matter in and during said summer. That notice was given to the occupant of said strip of ground to remove their buildings off the same by the 31st day of October, 1879. That said order and decision was thereafter reversed, but not until after many sales and transfers of property thereon had been made, the said John J., however, not having made any purchases, feeling that the same would be wrong. That upon and after the advice of said Clayton, the said John J. had divers conversations with the said A. O. Morgan in reference to the claim of said Sumpters, and the amount thereof which they would have to sacrifice to get the same allowed.

That said Morgan demanded of the petitioners a conveyance of one half of the front portion of said claim, embracing said lots, and offered to insure to them an award by said commissioners of the right to purchase the same if said conveyance was executed; that he finally offered to pay the government for the whole front portion of said claim and insure an allowance of said claim by said commissioners if the petitioners would convey as aforesaid, and told said John J. that this was better than they would ever do again, and that the claim of said Sumpters was much stronger and much better supported by evidence than that of Gaines and wife, and he would rather trade with Sumpters than Gaines, but that said Gaines would give one-half as the consideration of the award. And the said Morgan further stated to said John J. that he had been present at the examination of the testimony by said commissioners when they were in the East, and that he knew what course they intended pursuing and that the Sumpters would have to give up half of said front or lose the same; that said Major Nelson also repeatedly during this time advised said John J. and William to make the best trade they could with said Morgan, assuring them that unless they did make such trade they would be wronged and their property taken from them; that said Sumpters, knowing that said Gaines had no claim within the spirit, letter, or equity of said act, notwithstanding the statements of said Morgan, Clayton, and Nelson, the last of whom they regarded as their friend, but that said commission could not have the hardihood to deprive them of their rights, either for the benefit of said Gaines or themselves, under cover of said Gains claim,

and although they were willing to give something, refused to surrender half of their

rights, preferring rather to lose the whole.

That there being upon the front portion of said Sumpters claim, one lot, touching which there was a plausible controversy against them, and touching which the evidence was somewhat evenly balanced, they, the Sumpters, at the solicitation of said Morgan, and with a view, among other things, to test his power to control said commission, and to ascertain definitely whether said commissioners, or a majority of them, recognized his contracts, made a conveyance in secret trust for the benefit of said Morgan or of said commissioners, or of both Morgan and said commissioners, to a third party—whose name, with unquestioned proof thereof, is ready to be tendered—of the north half of said lot, and that they, thereupon, found that his contracts were recognized, for the said commission awarded said lot to petitioners Sumpter.

And petitioners say that William H. Gaines and wife employed M. W. Benjamin and W. W. Wilshire to present their said claim to said commission, and that after the appointment of the said Morgan as clerk to said commission with the ultimate views of subserving their ends as aforesaid, the said Gaines in the month of June, 1879, after telling to certain persons mixed up in the trafficking of said Morgan, that he was going to Little Rock and settle if possible with his said attorneys on a money basis and get rid of them so that he might have his land and claim in a condition to deal therewith for the use of said Morgan and the commissioners, and thereby secure a favorable consideration of his said claim, went to Little Rock, settled with said attorneys at \$6,500, procured from them a relinquishment and recession of the conveyance and agreement by which they were to have and receive one-half of the land that should be awarded to said Gaines and wife upon said petition; that the said Gaines thereafter returned and disposed of portions of the land claimed by him in accordance with said intent so

expressed.

That the heirs of John C. Hale, being pressed through the same channel to make a conveyance of a large portion of their claim, did so, and that the same was allowed. That the land authorized by the said act to be located and set apart to Garland County for a county seat, was first located by the commission on block number 111 as mapped and platted by said commission, where it was extremely injurious to the property claimed by said Sumpters, and that thereafter, and before said location was made final, S. H. Still, a son-in-law of said Gaines and wife, one of the proprietors of the Arlington Hotel, approached W. J. Little, a son-in-law of petitioner Elizabeth, and brother-in-law to petitioners William and John J., and told him that if he would agree to give him \$500 he would insure the removal of said location and a reversal of the decision of said commissioners in this behalf. That said Little agreed to pay said Still said sum if said removal was accomplished, and thereupon it was accomplished, and said county seat located back upon the mountain side, where it can never be of value to said Garland County or any one else. That before this the Sumpters had petitioned, pleaded, requested, and used all the moneyed influence at their command without avail.

That in the claim of Mary K. Cayce the land claimed embraced thirty lots as laid out by the commission; all of said land had been inclosed for a period of ten years, and the greater portion of it in cultivation, and had upon it a residence and out buildings; that said Casey is mother-in-law of petitioner, John J., who has the control and management of her claim; that said Clayton, when making his aforesaid demand, also demanded of said John a half interest in these lots, as the consideration of the allowance of said claim. The said Morgan also made the same demand, and offered to secure the award of this claim in full, and pay the government the price assessed upon the land, for said half interest after the said John had refused to give said half interest outright; told him that this was the best he would ever get, and that he would get more in this way than in any other; that said John refusing to do this, the commission afterwards awarded to the said Cayce only fourteen of said lots; that, thereupon, said John J. agreed with said Morgan to give him one-half of all the lots, except one, that he would thereafter procure to be added to said award, and that very soon thereafter six lots were added thereto by said commission. That the said Sumpters refused to convey the one-half interest of the front portion of their claim, and that, true to the prediction of Clayton, Morgan, and Nelson, the commission awarded to said Gaines the said lots numbered 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16, in block number 86, the same being decidedly the most valuable of said Sumpters' claim, and being one-half of the front thereof by admeasurement; that, thereupon, the said petitioner, John J., learning of this went to see said commissioners severally and talked with them about the matter, but did not tell Commissioners Steans and Coburn of the bargains and propositions offered him by said Morgan. Commissioner Coburn told said John that he was in favor of declaring said lots vacant, but that the award and decision was made by Commissioners Cragin and Steans, and that as between said Sumpters and Gaines said Sumpters had the right, and Gaines never had any shadow of right; Commissioner Steans told him that he was as a rule in favor of letting decision stand, but that inasmuch as the other commissioners had opened cases and reversed decision, he was

willing that this case should be reopened. Commissioner Cragin told him that he was in favor of awarding all of said lots to the Sumpters, but had made a compromise decision, and would be glad to reopen the case and have all of said lots awarded to the Sumpters, feeling that they were entitled thereto; that prior to his calling upon said commissioners, John J. saw said Morgan and told him that he was satisfied Morgan could have the property awarded as he pleased, and that he, Sumpter, would go, if the matter stood thus, and tell the commissioners of his proposition and doings; that he, the said Sumpter, would not be robbed in any such manner. That said Morgan replied that said Sumpter might go and tell said commissioners as soon as he pleased, saying, "I have told them all about it." That afterwards when the said John, in a subsequent conversation with said Cragin, in his (Cragin's) room, at the Arlington Hotel, told him that he was dissatisfied and would if possible amend the proceedings of said commission by exposing, as far as he knew the actions, conduct, trading, and misdoings of the said Morgan, who claimed therein to represent the said commissioners. The said Cragin advised against it, saying, "Your interests are so great that you cannot afford to do so, as the property which has been awarded to the Sumpters is very valuable and will be imperiled thereby."

That when said Clayton was urging upon said John to give up half of the said front portion of said claim, the said John told him that he had agreed to give up fifty feet thereof, whereupon said Clayton replied that this was too little, that it had to go through "long division," and the shares would consequently be very small; and the petitioners, Elizabeth, William, and John, state it as a fact that there are many conveyances of the character above indicated made either directly to, or to persons named by, said A. O. Morgan, and that the decisions have uniformly, so far as the same have fallen within their observation, been, either by accident or understanding (petitioners hardly think by accident), in accordance with the stipulations, statements, and inter-

est of the said Morgan.

I. And petitioners charge that the award of said lots to said Gaines and wife, by

said commissioners, was influenced by fraud, as above indicated.

II. That said award is without evidence to support it and contrary to the letter and spirit of the law, and they state that they will not abide the same, but pray an appeal now from the decisions of said commissioners in said consolidated claims numbered 737 and 784, awarding said lots to said Gaines and wife and pray a revision of the same upon the evidence taken before said commissioners and preserved on the files thereof, and further pray that the wrongs and frauds herein charged and the connection of said commissioners therewith be investigated.

(Signed)
(Signed)

JNO. J. SUMPTER, WM. SUMPTER, ELIZABETH SUMPTER.

John J. Sumpter states that he is one of the petitioners in the foregoing claim No. 737, and that he believes the foregoing statements to be true.

JOHN J. SUMPTER.

Sworn to before me this 10th day of December, 1879. [NOTARIAL SEAL.]

JOSEPH FRIEBIS, N. P.

A list of persons to whom certificates for condemned buildings were issued by the Hot Springs Commission in the year 1879, giving the numbers, names, and amounts.

Certificate.	Name.	Number of claim.	Amoun
1	Martha Murphree	530	\$250 (
2	Henry M. Rector (No. 33). Philip Ottenheimer and Daniel Ottenheimer.	668	600 (
3	Philip Ottenheimer and Daniel Ottenheimer	276	600 0
4	Emanuel Burgauer	517	900 0
5	Jeremiah C. Moores	602	35 (
6	William W. Clark. Henry M. Rector (No. 26)	274	800 (
7	Henry M. Rector (No. 26)	668	100 0
8	Henry M. Rector (Nos. 24 and 25)	668	125 (
9	Henry M. Rector (No. 23)	668	200 0
.0	John W. Clary	455	75 (
1	Henry M. Rector (No. 20)	668	400 (
2	George P. Gates and William Passmore.	559	1,500 (
3	Albert L. Cuthbert Hale heirs: Sarah Hale, Lovetta Hale, John P. Warren, John Boynton Hale	470	400 (
	(No. 43)	606	85 (

# A list of persons to whom certificates for condemned buildings were issued by the Hot Springs Commission, &c.—Continued.

		of	
	Name.	Number claim.	Amount
5	Robert G. Davies	\$390	\$350 (
	George W. Lawrence Mrs. A. B. Whittaker James H. Nichols, jr	516	1,000 (
	Mrs. A. B. Whittaker.	364	100 (
	James H. Nichols, jr	591	40 (
	Matthew J. Smith	490	70 (
	Matthew J. Smith Emanuel Burgauer Curnel S. Williamson and Albert B. Gaines	38	125 (
	Curnel S. Williamson and Albert B. Gaines John M. Rice Lilias W. Rector, George W. Murphy, and James B. Wood Hermon Moscowitz Dinah Averitt Orlando A. Hobson John J. Sumpter, guardian of James King, jr Mary Rogers Rugg Ephraim Terry Oma Jester. James M. Rice James M. Rice James M. Spence and George J. Summers. Susan Hunter Ellis Woolman Martin P. Harrison	640	200
	John M. Kice - Cooper W. Muunhy, and Lames R. Wood	611 830	150 300
	Ellas W. Rector, George W. Murphy, and Fames B. Wood	52	250
	Dinah Averitt	40	75
	Orlando A. Holson	614	250
	John J. Sumpter, guardian of James King, jr	834	60
	Mary Rogers Rugg	855	275
	Ephraim Terry	605	100
	Oma Jester	321	100
	James M. Rice	610	230
	James M. Spence and George J. Summers	759	25
	Susan Hunter	154 709	75
	Martin P. Harrison	696	75 75
	Martin 1. Harrison	359	60
	James T. Mott Alice McMichael	468	50
	Alice McMichael	0=0	30
	Edmund W. Rucker	816	150
	Lavinia A. Squire	254	660
	James H. Whitely	128	500
	William H. Barry	445	250
	James P. Wood	831	300
	Alice McMichael Edmund W. Rucker Lavinia A. Squire James H. Whitely William H. Barry James P. Wood William F. Clyde Thomas H. Lewis Thomas Webber	821	150
	Thomas H. Lewis Thomas Webber	120 587	175
	Thomas Webber	277	200 100
	Mark C Haney	534	85
	Thomas Wedder Tempy Bryant Mark C. Haney Calvin Bagley Sarah J. Cloyes James M. Spence Dolly Cogburn and Pleasant Green. Isaac Graves	344	40
	Sarah J. Cloves	706	85
	James M. Spence	552	250
	Dolly Cogburn and Pleasant Green.		125
	Isaac Graves	949	40
	Saran E. Warker	180	100
	John B. Roe	224	50
	Robert C. Jones Christopher Hazen	253	150 166
	Albert Donnelly.	510 328	50
		==0	25
	Martha Patillo	361	90
	Martha Seaborn	383	65
	George W. Brantley	438	566
	Charles Bryan	685	300
	Al. M. E. Church (colored)	724	100
	Amanda Sanders Martha Patillo Martha Seaborn George W. Brantley Charles Bryan Al. M. E. Church (colored) William K. Camp James H. Adams Mary Rogers	588	25
	James H. Adams	656	120
	Mary Rogers Wesley Steele, guardian of Wesley Smith John H. Scoggins and David D. Shipley Lizzie Fitzhue. Samuel Davis	313	200
	Wesley Steele, guardian of Wesley Smith	814 627	25
	Lizzia Fitzhna	908	35 60
	Samuel Davis	757	80
	Edward Fitzgerald, Bishop of Little Rock	523	800
	George Wesson.	384	230
	Michael Conley	181	688
	Morris Moscowitz	95	110
	Thomas Bass and Martha Bass	174	1,770
	James M. Ballentine, executor, and Agnes Ballentine, executrix of David Ballentine deceased		10 000
	Edward Fitzgerald, Bishop of Little Rock.  George Wesson.  Michael Conley.  Morris Moscowitz.  Thomas Bass and Martha Bass  James M. Ballentine, executor, and Agnes Ballentine, executrix of David Ballentine, deceased.	2 759	10,000
	Ralinda T Filiatt	110	380 250
	Mary Holey	934	200
	Mary Holey Mattie O'Neal	939	200
	James K. Lane	641	125
	William W. Fowler, Sophia Fowler, Joseph B. Fowler, Robert F. Fowler, E.	-	1
	L. Fowler, heirs of Albert M. Fowler, deceased	492	80
	Michael Conley	181	22.
	James K. Lane William W. Fowler, Sophia Fowler, Joseph B. Fowler, Robert F. Fowler, E. L. Fowler, heirs of Albert M. Fowler, deceased Michael Conley. Algernon S. Garnett Henry P. Smith. Henry M. Rector (No. 40)	181 59 318	22, 100 200

# A list of persons to whom certificates for condemned buildings were issued by the Hot Springs Commission—Continued.

Henry M. Rector.	e.		of	
90   Henry M. Rector   563   886   91   Walter A. Moore.   572   43   92   William F. Housley   6   15   33   3acob W. Parker   340   3   3acob W. Parker   340   3   3acob W. Parker   340   3   45   35   35   35   35   35   35	ficat	Name.	ber sim.	Amount.
90   Henry M. Rector   563   886   91   Walter A. Moore.   572   43   92   William F. Housley   6   15   33   3acob W. Parker   340   3   3acob W. Parker   340   3   3acob W. Parker   340   3   45   35   35   35   35   35   35	ertii		[um]	
99 Waltier A. Moore.			A	
Hale heirs: Sarah Hale, Lovetta Hale, John P. Warren, John Boynton Hale		Henry M. Rector.		\$\$50 00 435 00
Hale heirs: Sarah Hale, Lovetta Hale, John P. Warren, John Boynton Hale	92	William F. Housley		150 00
Hale heirs: Sarah Hale, Lovetta Hale, John P. Warren, John Boynton Hale		Jacob W. Parker		30 00
Hale heirs: Sarah Hale, Lovetta Hale, John P. Warren, John Boynton Hale		Thaddeus Taylor		160 00 400 00
Hale heirs: Sarah Hale, Lovetta Hale, John P. Warren, John Boynton Hale		Charles N. Rockafellow		300 00
Hale heirs: Sarah Hale, Lovetta Hale, John P. Warren, John Boynton Hale		George W. Barnes	247	100 00
James B. McCarrey  James B. McCarrey  Henry M. Rector (No. 7)  Thompson C. Pipkin  Thompson Thompson  Thompson T		Oames I. Shifth		200 00
Trustees of the Roanoke Baptist Church (colored)   809   30   30   31   35   35   35   35   36   36   36   36		James B. McCaffrey		50 00 50 00
Trustees of the Roanoke Baptist Church (colored)   809   30   30   31   35   35   35   35   36   36   36   36	101	James Madison and Wesley Steele	96	125 00
Trustees of the Roanoke Baptist Church (colored)   809   30   30   31   35   35   35   35   36   36   36   36		Henry M. Rector (No. 7)		250 00
Trustees of the Roanoke Baptist Church (colored)   809   30   30   31   35   35   35   35   36   36   36   36		John F. Lowrey		300 00 45 00
Trustees of the Roanoke Baptist Church (colored)   809   30   30   31   35   35   35   35   36   36   36   36		Benjamin F. Bunch		20 00
Trustees of the Roanoke Baptist Church (colored)   809   30   30   31   35   35   35   35   36   36   36   36		Joseph O. Pool		750 00
Trustees of the Roanoke Baptist Church (colored)   809   30   30   31   35   35   35   35   36   36   36   36		Shade A. Sammons.		80 00 80 00
Trustees of the Roanoke Baptist Church (colored)   809   30   30   31   35   35   35   35   36   36   36   36		Patrick J. Ledwidge		1,000 00
Trustees of the Roanoke Baptist Church (colored)   809   30   30   31   35   35   35   35   36   36   36   36		George N. Johnson		40 00
Trustees of the Roanoke Baptist Church (colored)   809   30   30   31   35   35   35   35   36   36   36   36		Mary A. Thompson		600 00
Trustees of the Roanoke Baptist Church (colored)   809   30   30   31   35   35   35   35   36   36   36   36		Mary Steele.		25 00
118   Adam Roane	114	Trustees of the Roanoke Baptist Church (colored)	809	300 00
118   Adam Roane		Emma Taylor		50 00
118   Adam Roane		Samuel Smith		200 00 40 00
119   Calvin Davis   339   8   8   120   Rachel Chapman   729   2   121   Andrew Clay   547   10   102   Jacob Kempner   754   2   122   Jacob Kempner   760   2   124   Aaron Johnson   774   6   125   Charles Wilson   776   6   6   126   George Wesson   384   15   127   John L. Scranage   239   15   127   John L. Scranage   239   15   128   William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines   784   20   130   George Clemson   315   2   131   William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines   784   77   131   William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines   784   77   132   Algernon S. Garnett   1   122   133   John M. Huffman   751   300   301   3		Adam Roane	56	100 00
Andrew Clay		Calvin Davis		80 00
122   Jacob Kempner   754   2   2   3   4   2   3   4   3   5   1   2   3   4   3   3   5   1   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3		Androw Clay		25 00 100 00
Charles Wilson   776   688   15     126   George Wesson   384   15     127   John L. Scranage   239   15     128   William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines   784   20     129   Fannie G. Williamson   673   20     130   George Clemson   315   21     131   William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines   784   77     132   Algernon S. Garnett   1   22     133   John M. Huffman   751   30     134   William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines   784   77     135   Elizabeth Sumpter, John J. Sumpter, and William Sumpter   737   15     136   Mary Thompson   727   11     137   Matilda Lipscomb   303   303   303   303     138   Peyton Grissam   225   77     139   David H. Harris   9   12     140   Jemima Pope   389   50     141   Carrie Broadwell   460   150     142   George J. Summers   397   151     143   James M. Ballentine, executor Agnes Ballentine, executrix of the last will   2   800     144   John T. Baker   662   77     145   Peter Davis   366   55     146   Washington H. Leary   30   120     147   William J. Terry   30   120     148   John W. Smith   292   34     159   George W. Mann   929   34     150   George W. Mann   929   34     151   George G. Davis, Elias W. Rector, and Henry M. Rector, jr.   437   152     151   Henry M. Rector (No. 31)   636   636   866     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)   636   636     151   Henry M. Rector (No. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)   636     152   Charles Wilson   152   600     153   Frederick Dengler   360   300     154   Henry M. Rector (No. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)   636     155   Henry M. Rector (No. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)   636     156   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636     157   Hannah Alexander   316     158   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636     159   Elihu B. Smith   152   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyc	122	Jacob Kempner		25 00
Charles Wilson   776   688   15     126   George Wesson   384   15     127   John L. Scranage   239   15     128   William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines   784   20     129   Fannie G. Williamson   673   20     130   George Clemson   315   21     131   William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines   784   77     132   Algernon S. Garnett   1   22     133   John M. Huffman   751   30     134   William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines   784   77     135   Elizabeth Sumpter, John J. Sumpter, and William Sumpter   737   15     136   Mary Thompson   727   11     137   Matilda Lipscomb   303   303   303   303     138   Peyton Grissam   225   77     139   David H. Harris   9   12     140   Jemima Pope   389   50     141   Carrie Broadwell   460   150     142   George J. Summers   397   151     143   James M. Ballentine, executor Agnes Ballentine, executrix of the last will   2   800     144   John T. Baker   662   77     145   Peter Davis   366   55     146   Washington H. Leary   30   120     147   William J. Terry   30   120     148   John W. Smith   292   34     159   George W. Mann   929   34     150   George W. Mann   929   34     151   George G. Davis, Elias W. Rector, and Henry M. Rector, jr.   437   152     151   Henry M. Rector (No. 31)   636   636   866     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)   636   636     151   Henry M. Rector (No. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)   636     152   Charles Wilson   152   600     153   Frederick Dengler   360   300     154   Henry M. Rector (No. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)   636     155   Henry M. Rector (No. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)   636     156   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636     157   Hannah Alexander   316     158   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636     159   Elihu B. Smith   152   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyc	123	Edward Chambers	760	25 00
126   George Wesson   384   15   127   John L. Scranage   239   15   128   William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines   784   20   129   Fannie G. Williamson   673   20   130   George Clemson   315   20   131   William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines   784   784   132   Algernon S. Garnett   1   22   133   John M. Huffman   751   30   134   William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines   784   784   135   Elizabeth Sumpter, John J. Sumpter, and William Sumpter   737   15   136   Mary Thompson   727   71   137   Matilda Lipscomb   727   71   138   Peyton Grissam   225   77   139   David H. Harris   9   9   140   Jemima Pope   389   50   141   Carrie Broadwell   460   15   142   George J. Summers   397   15   143   James M. Ballentine, executor Agnes Ballentine, executrix of the last will   2   144   John T. Baker   662   77   145   Peter Davis   366   56   146   Washington H. Leary   30   12   147   John W. Smith   292   50   148   John W. Smith   292   50   149   Thomas Haskins   650   40   150   George W. Mann   929   31   151   Frederick Dengler   255   36   151   Frederick Dengler   255   36   152   Charles Wilson   776   12   153   Frederick Dengler   255   36   154   Robert G. Davis, Elias W. Rector, and Henry M. Rector, jr   437   155   Henry M. Rector (No. 31)   636   636   87   151   Henry M. Rector (No. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)   636   636   87   152   Charles Wilson   152   600   153   Frederick Dengler   259   30   154   Robert G. Davis, Elias W. Rector, and Henry M. Rector, jr   437   437   155   Hannah Alexander   316   77   156   Henry M. Rector (No. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)   636   636   636   157   Henry M. Rector (No. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)   636   636   636   158   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   630   159   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 006   150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 006   150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 006   150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 006		Aaron Johnson	774	65 00
Famme Cr. Williamson		George Wesson		65 00 150 00
Famme Cr. Williamson	127	John L. Scranage	239	150 00
134   William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines   784   55     135   Elizabeth Sumpter, John J. Sumpter, and William Sumpter   737   737     136   Mary Thompson   727   71     137   Matilda Lipscomb   303   88     138   Peyton Grissam   225   77     140   James M. Ballentine   9   12     140   Jemima Pope   389   55     141   Carrie Broadwell   460   154     142   George J. Summers   397   153     143   James M. Ballentine, executor Agnes Ballentine, executrix of the last will   2     144   John T. Baker   662   77     145   Jemes M. Ballentine, deceased.   76     146   Washington H. Leary   366   366     147   William J. Terry   30   124     148   John W. Smith   292   55     149   Thomas Haskins   650   44     150   George W. Mann   929   35     151   George W. Mann   929   35     152   Frederick Dengler   259   33     153   Frederick Dengler   259   33     154   Robert G. Davis, Elias W. Rector, and Henry M. Rector, jr   437   88     156   Henry M. Rector (No. 31)   636   876     157   Hannah Alexander   316   77     158   Hannah Alexander   316   77     159   Elihu B. Smith   152   600     150   Gamuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Ru		William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines		200 00
134   William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines   784   55     135   Elizabeth Sumpter, John J. Sumpter, and William Sumpter   737   737     136   Mary Thompson   727   71     137   Matilda Lipscomb   303   88     138   Peyton Grissam   225   77     140   James M. Ballentine   9   12     140   Jemima Pope   389   55     141   Carrie Broadwell   460   154     142   George J. Summers   397   153     143   James M. Ballentine, executor Agnes Ballentine, executrix of the last will   2     144   John T. Baker   662   77     145   Jemes M. Ballentine, deceased.   76     146   Washington H. Leary   366   366     147   William J. Terry   30   124     148   John W. Smith   292   55     149   Thomas Haskins   650   44     150   George W. Mann   929   35     151   George W. Mann   929   35     152   Frederick Dengler   259   33     153   Frederick Dengler   259   33     154   Robert G. Davis, Elias W. Rector, and Henry M. Rector, jr   437   88     156   Henry M. Rector (No. 31)   636   876     157   Hannah Alexander   316   77     158   Hannah Alexander   316   77     159   Elihu B. Smith   152   600     150   Gamuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Ru		George Clemson		205 00
134   William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines   784   55     135   Elizabeth Sumpter, John J. Sumpter, and William Sumpter   737   737     136   Mary Thompson   727   71     137   Matilda Lipscomb   303   88     138   Peyton Grissam   225   77     140   James M. Ballentine   9   12     140   Jemima Pope   389   55     141   Carrie Broadwell   460   154     142   George J. Summers   397   153     143   James M. Ballentine, executor Agnes Ballentine, executrix of the last will   2     144   John T. Baker   662   77     145   Jemes M. Ballentine, deceased.   76     146   Washington H. Leary   366   366     147   William J. Terry   30   124     148   John W. Smith   292   55     149   Thomas Haskins   650   44     150   George W. Mann   929   35     151   George W. Mann   929   35     152   Frederick Dengler   259   33     153   Frederick Dengler   259   33     154   Robert G. Davis, Elias W. Rector, and Henry M. Rector, jr   437   88     156   Henry M. Rector (No. 31)   636   876     157   Hannah Alexander   316   77     158   Hannah Alexander   316   77     159   Elihu B. Smith   152   600     150   Gamuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Ru	131	William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines		25 00 75 00
134   William H. Gaines and Mariah Gaines   784   55     135   Elizabeth Sumpter, John J. Sumpter, and William Sumpter   737   737     136   Mary Thompson   727   71     137   Matilda Lipscomb   303   88     138   Peyton Grissam   225   77     140   James M. Ballentine   9   12     140   Jemima Pope   389   55     141   Carrie Broadwell   460   154     142   George J. Summers   397   153     143   James M. Ballentine, executor Agnes Ballentine, executrix of the last will   2     144   John T. Baker   662   77     145   Jemes M. Ballentine, deceased.   76     146   Washington H. Leary   366   366     147   William J. Terry   30   124     148   John W. Smith   292   55     149   Thomas Haskins   650   44     150   George W. Mann   929   35     151   George W. Mann   929   35     152   Frederick Dengler   259   33     153   Frederick Dengler   259   33     154   Robert G. Davis, Elias W. Rector, and Henry M. Rector, jr   437   88     156   Henry M. Rector (No. 31)   636   876     157   Hannah Alexander   316   77     158   Hannah Alexander   316   77     159   Elihu B. Smith   152   600     150   Gamuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Henry M. Rector (No. 19)   636   600     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce   540   22, 000     150   Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Ru		Algernon S. Garnett	1	225 00
David H. Harris   9   12		John M. Huffman William H. Caines and Mariah Caines	751	300 00 50 00
David H. Harris   9   12		Elizabeth Sumpter, John J. Sumpter, and William Sumpter	737	155 00
David H. Harris   9   12		Mary Thompson	727	10 00
141   Carrie Broadwell		Matilda Lipscomb		85 00 75 00
141   Carrie Broadwell	139	David H. Harris		120 00
141   Carrie Broadwell		Jemima Pope		50 00
145         Peter Davis         366         5           146         Washington H. Leary         578         8           147         William J. Terry         30         120           148         John W. Smith         292         36         40           150         George W. Mann         929         3           152         Charles Wilson         776         12           153         Frederick Dengler         259         30           154         Robert G. Davis, Elias W. Rector, and Henry M. Rector, jr.         437         8           156         Henry M. Rector (No. 31)         636         16           157         Henry M. Rector (Nos. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)         636         87           158         Hannah Alexander         316         77           159         Elihu B. Smith         152         60           160         Thomas J. Evans         482         60           161         Henry M. Rector (No. 19)         636         30           162         Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce         540         22, 00           165         Henry M. Rector         668         60				150 00 155 00
145         Peter Davis         366         5           146         Washington H. Leary         578         8           147         William J. Terry         30         120           148         John W. Smith         292         36         40           150         George W. Mann         929         3           152         Charles Wilson         776         12           153         Frederick Dengler         259         30           154         Robert G. Davis, Elias W. Rector, and Henry M. Rector, jr.         437         8           156         Henry M. Rector (No. 31)         636         16           157         Henry M. Rector (Nos. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)         636         87           158         Hannah Alexander         316         77           159         Elihu B. Smith         152         60           160         Thomas J. Evans         482         60           161         Henry M. Rector (No. 19)         636         30           162         Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce         540         22, 00           165         Henry M. Rector         668         60		James M. Ballentine, executor Agnes Ballentine, executrix of the last will	2	800 00
145         Peter Davis         366         5           146         Washington H. Leary         578         8           147         William J. Terry         30         120           148         John W. Smith         292         36         40           150         George W. Mann         929         3           152         Charles Wilson         776         12           153         Frederick Dengler         259         30           154         Robert G. Davis, Elias W. Rector, and Henry M. Rector, jr.         437         8           156         Henry M. Rector (No. 31)         636         16           157         Henry M. Rector (Nos. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)         636         87           158         Hannah Alexander         316         77           159         Elihu B. Smith         152         60           160         Thomas J. Evans         482         60           161         Henry M. Rector (No. 19)         636         30           162         Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce         540         22, 00           165         Henry M. Rector         668         60		and testament of David Ballentine, deceased.		100
146       Washington H. Leary       578       86         147       William J. Terry       30       121         148       John W. Smith       292       56         149       Thomas Haskins       650       44         150       George W. Mann       929       3         152       Charles Wilson       776       12         153       Frederick Dengler       259       3         154       Robert G. Davis, Elias W. Rector, and Henry M. Rector, jr.       437       8         154       Henry M. Rector (No. 31)       636       166         157       Henry M. Rector (Nos. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)       636       87         158       Hannah Alexander       316       77         159       Elihu B. Snith       152       600         160       Thomas J. Evans       482       66         161       Henry M. Rector (No. 19)       636       30         162       Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce       540       22, 00         165       Henry M. Rector       668       606				75 00 50 00
Thomas Haskins   650   44		Washington H. Leary		80 00
Thomas Haskins   650   44	147	William J. Terry	30	120 00
154   Robert G. Davis, Elias W. Rector, and Henry M. Rector, jr.   437   80   156   Henry M. Rector (No. 31)   636   156   157   Henry M. Rector (Nos. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)   636   87   158   Hannah Alexander   316   77   159   150		John W. Smith		50 00
154     Robert G. Davis, Elias W. Rector, and Henry M. Rector, jr.     437     8       156     Henry M. Rector (No. 31)     636     16       157     Henry M. Rector (Nos. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)     636     87       158     Hannah Alexander     316     77       159     Elihu B. Smith     152     600       160     Thomas J. Evans     482     66       161     Henry M. Rector (No. 19)     636     30       162     Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce     540     22,00       165     Henry M. Rector     668     600		Thomas Haskins.		40 00 30 00
154     Robert G. Davis, Elias W. Rector, and Henry M. Rector, jr.     437     8       156     Henry M. Rector (No. 31)     636     16       157     Henry M. Rector (Nos. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)     636     87       158     Hannah Alexander     316     77       159     Elihu B. Smith     152     600       160     Thomas J. Evans     482     66       161     Henry M. Rector (No. 19)     636     30       162     Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce     540     22,00       165     Henry M. Rector     668     600		Charles Wilson		125 00
138       Hannan Alexander       316       76         149       Elliu B. Smith       152       60         160       Thomas J. Evans       482       60         161       Henry M. Rector (No. 19)       636       30         162       Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce       540       22, 00         165       Henry M. Rector       668       6, 00		Frederick Dengler	259	30 00
138       Hannan Alexander       316       76         149       Elliu B. Smith       152       60         160       Thomas J. Evans       482       60         161       Henry M. Rector (No. 19)       636       30         162       Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce       540       22, 00         165       Henry M. Rector       668       6, 00		Henry M. Rector, (No. 21)		80 00 160 00
139       Hannan Alexander       316       76         149       Elihu B. Smith       152       60         160       Thomas J. Evans       482       60         161       Henry M. Rector (No. 19)       636       30         162       Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce       540       22, 00         165       Henry M. Rector       668       6, 00	157	Henry M. Rector (Nos. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18)		870 00
159     Elihu B. Smith     152     60       160     Thomas J. Evans     482     66       161     Henry M. Rector (No. 19)     636     300       162     Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce     540     22,00       165     Henry M. Rector     668     600	158	Hannan Alexander	316	75 00
100   100		Elihu B Smith		600 00
162       Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce       540       22, 000         165       Henry M. Rector       668       6,000         167       300       300       300		Henry M. Rector (No. 19)		60 00 300 00
165 Henry M. Rector	162	Samuel H. Stitt, De Witt C. Rugg, and Samuel W. Fordyce		22, 000 00
167 00 0 500	165	Henry M. Rector	668	6,000 00
168 Michael C. O'Bryan 27 78	167	do Michael C. O'Bryan	668	2,500 00 79 00
168 Michael C. O Bryan 27 18 169do 357 129		dodo.		125 00

A list of persons to whom certificates for condemned buildings were issued by the Hot Springs Commission, &c.—Continued.

Certificate.	Name.	Number of claim.	Amount.
170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177	Maria E. Payne Laura McCanihi Joseph Morrison Patsey Jones Henry Battle Kate McGrath Alexander Peoples Thomas M. Gibbs.	105 341 537 479 272 45 146 416	\$100 00 100 00 100 00 50 00 50 00 50 00 50 00 130 00
178 179	Balsora Pryor, Carrie Pitman, and Eugenie Pitman	461	200 00 200 00 74, 696 00

#### Schedule.—Hot Springs Commission, 1879.

The following is a schedule of claimants and of lots and blocks in the Hot Springs Reservation with the appraised value thereof and with corresponding numbers of the same on the map made by the Hot Springs Commission.

AARON H. CRAGIN,

JOHN COBURN, M. L. STEARNS,

December 11, 1879.

Commissioners.

Schedule of claimants and of lots and blocks in the Hot Springs Reservation.

Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.	Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.
	1	1	\$45	Curnel S. Williamson and Al-			
	1	2	35	bert B. Gaines	- 4	2	\$45
	1	3	70	Do	4	3	60
George Eckerde	1	4	20	Do	4	4	60
d congo monetaco i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1	5	35	Do	4	5	60
	1	6	35	Robert L. Adams	4	6	50
	1	7	25	Sarah Flowers	4	7	60
	1	8	15	Do	4	8	60
Thomas McGlaron and Will-	-	0	10	Do	4	9	60
iam Gable	2	1	35	20	1	10	60
Charles C. Coughman	2 2 2 2 2 3	2	35	Curnel S. Williamson and Al-	1	10	00
Do	2	2 3	45	bert B. Gaines	4	11	45
Do	2	4	45	Do	4	12	45
Do	2	5	35	Do		13	- 45
Mahala Cotton	2	6	35	Do	5 5	1	60
Do	2		35	Do	5	2	60
Charles C. Coughman.	3	7	35	Do	5	3	100
Do	3	2	35	Charles G. Bush	5	4	105
Fannie Knowles	3	2 3	35	John A. Wolfinger and N. J.		-	106
Do	3	4	35	Steben	- 5	5	100
Do	3	5	40	Stepen	5	6	50
Do	3	6	40	Robert L. Adams	5	7	50
Do	3	7	40	Curnel S. Williamson and Al-			30
Do	3	8	40	bert B. Gaines	5	8	50
Do	3	9	40	Do Do	5	9	50
Charles C. Coughman	3	10	45	George Tanner and Greenlee	9	9	30
Do	3	11	45	Tanner	6	1	55
Curnel S. Williamson and Al-	0	11	40	Do	6	9	60
bert B. Gaines	4	9	50	D0	0	2 3	60

Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.	Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.
Jesse L. Sanford Sydney H. Taylor John Nevill George Dallas Bumpas Elijah A. Shippey Do. Do. James M. Spence and George J. Summers. George Tanner and Greenlee Tanner Do. Do. John Graham and John W. Hall Jerome Henderson John D. Ware James S. Bellamy Robert F. Parker Do. Charles C. Coughman. Robert F. Parker Do. Robert F. Parker Do.	66666666666666666666666666666666666666	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 1 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 11 12 12 13 14 14 15 16 16 16 17 16 17 16 17 16 17 16 17 16 17 16 17 16 17 16 17 16 17 16 17 16 17 16 17 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	\$100 45 20 65 135 105 105 105 45 45 70 00 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	Arthur K. Taylor  Fredrick Dengler  Do  Do	15 15 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	2 3 4 4 5 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 1 15 6 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	\$44 4 4 4 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
Malinda M. Honsley and Rosa Loeb	100 100 100 100 101 111 111 111 111 111	3 4 5 6 7 8 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 2 2 3 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 1	40 50 50 35 35 35 35 45 45 60 60 60 60 35 35 45 85 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	Richard E. Allen. Fredrick Dengler Do Do Do Charles H. Coe Do	188 18 18 18 18 18 18 19 19 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 22 22 22 23	12 13 14 15 16 17 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	777776688888888888888888888888888888888

Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal	Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal
ames H.Adams	23	2	\$35	Caroline Kelly	34	4	\$
Do	23	3	35	Do	34	5	
Do	24 24	1 2	70 50	James J. Byrne	34	6 7	91
Do	24	3	. 75		34	8	
Villiam K. Camp	24	4	65		34	9	1
Thomas Shannon and William		1 1			34	10	V.
Rawson	24	5	65	Caroline Kelly	.35	1	
redrick Dengler	24	6	43	Do	35	2	
Do	24 25	7	65 125	Do	35	3	
oseph Reynolds	25	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	85	Do	36	1	
lenry Price	26	1	70		36	2	
Do	26	2	85	Benjamin Hutchinson	36	3	
eorge Wright	26	3	43	Do	36	4	
hristopher Hagen	26	4	65		37	1	
Do	26	5	65		37	2	
ugust Schiker	26	6	105	Henry Woodfork	37	3	
eorge H. Smith	26 26	7 8	35 75	Do	37 37	5	
illiam Staatslbert Donnelly	26	9	35	20	37	6	
obert C. Jones	26	10	20		37	7	
obert C. Jones	26	11	20		37	8	
seph Reynolds	26	12	105	10	37	9	
Do	26	13	75	James H. Nichols	38	1	
Do	26	14	70	Do	38	2	
Do	26 26	15	60	Do	38	3	
muel Henry	26	16 17	70 55	James H. Nichols, jr	38	5	1
eorge H. Smith	26	18	60	Do	38	6	1
aristopher Hagen	26	19	60	Do	38	7	1
Do	26	20	60	Do	38	8	
	26	21	45	George D. Bumpas	38	9	
enry Price	26	22	50	James H. Nichols	38	10	
Do	26	23	50	Bertrand Ebos	39	1	
hristopher Hagen	27	1 2	60	Do	39	2	
Do	27 27	3	60	Henry F. Crimm  James T. Mott	39	3 4	
	27	4	45	Elias W. Rector, George W	00	4	
nristopher Hagen	27	5,	45	Elias W. Rector, George W. Murphy, and James B.			
roline Kelly	. 28	1	65	W 00d	39	5	
Do	28	2	55	Frank M. Moore	39	6	
Do	. 28	3	70	Emma Calhoun	39	7	
Do	28	4	43	Ferdinand Schicker	39	8	-
na Jester	29 29	1 2	60 43	Levi H. Turner	39	9	1
Do	29	3	60	Emanuel Burganer Levi Thal	40	10 1	
Do	29	4	43	Thomas J. Bull	40	2	
Do	29	5	35	William A. Kirkpatrick	40	3	
Do	29	6	35	Thomas J. Bull	40	4	
Do	29	7	50	Do	40	5	
Do	29	8	17	Enoch Woolman	40	6	
izabeth French	30 30	1 2	43	Henry F. Crimm	40	7	
Do	-30	3	43	George Holcombe	40 41	8	
Do	30	4	43		41	2	
mes H. Adams	31	1	45	Isaiah P. Simpson	41	3	
Do	31	2	45	Mary S. Jack, Martha Ann	all a	136	
Do	31	3	45	Jack, Nancy Jane Jack,			
Do	31	4	70	Joseph Jack, and Luther	- 12	170	
Do	31	5	45		41	4	
D <sub>0</sub>	31 31	6 7	45 45	Hester A. Moore William Niece Thomas J. Reed and Adin H.	41	5	
Do	31	8	45	Thomas J. Reed and Adin H	41	6	
hn T. Baker nith Scrogin and Joseph B.	32	1	10	Whitmore	41	7	
nith Scrogin and Joseph B.	34,50	958		Annie Duke	41	8	
Doud	32	2	30	Mary A. Oller	42	1	
Do	32	3	60	Do	42	2	
Do	32	-4	45	Do	42	3	
Do	32	5	45	Do	43	1	
Do	33 33	1 2	30	James H. Adams	43	2	
hn T. Baker	33	3	45 45	Do	43	3	1
Do	33	4	65	Do	43	5	
Do	33	5	35	James Cherry	44	1	
arrie Broadwell	33	6	50	Mary S. Jack, Martha Ann	11	-	
	34	1	65	Jack, Nancy Jane Jack.			
ino David	34	2	130	Jack, Nancy Jane Jack, Joseph Jack, and Luther		3152	
liza Burke	34	3	85	S. Allard	44	2	

Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal	Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal
Mary S. Jack. Martha Ann				Emily Runyon	51	8	\$60
Mary S. Jack, Martha Ann Jack, Nancy Jane Jack, Joseph Jack, and Luther				. Do	51	9	60
Joseph Jack, and Luther	44	0	det	Do	51	10	60
S. Allard	44 44	3 4	\$55 50	Lizzie E. Collins	51 52	11	85 50
	44	5	60	Do	52	2	50
Edward H. Farley	44	6	. 75	Do	52	3	50
James H. Adams	45	1	30	Do	52	4	75
Do	45 45	2 3	65 65	Henry A. Booth	52 52	5	130 85
Do	45	4	75	Do	52	7	70
Do	45	5	60	Do	52	8	60
Do	45	6	50	D . D	53	1	60
Edward H. Farley	46 46	1 2	45 85	Bessie P. Ware	53 53	3	90
Loftin M. Little	46	3	65	Elizabeth Luker	53	4	140 140
	46	4	50	Do	53	5	135
	47	1	60	Do	53	6	135
	47	2	75	Do	53	7	175
	47 47	3 4	85 85	Do	53 53	8 9	90 80
Jacob W. Parker	47	5	95	Do	53	10	60
Do	47	6	100	Do	53	11	60
Do	47	7	75	Do	53	12	80
Do	47 47	8 9	70 60	Sarah J. Cloyes	53 54	13	100
	47	10	60	Albert Belding Benjamin L. Reams	54	$\frac{1}{2}$	70 170
Control of the state of the sta	48	1	70	Do	54	3	85
the state of the s	48	2	70	Do	54	4	85
The state of the s	48	3	70	Do	54	5	45
Mary Finn, Theresa Ann	48	4	70	Luther S. Allard and Sarah F. Allard	54	6	60
Finn, and Marcella Finn	48	5	75	Do	54	7	40
Do	. 48	6	85	Do	54	8	25
Do	48	7	75	Albert Belding	54	9	35
Do	48	8 9	60	Do	54	10	35
	48	10	60 60	Luther S. Allard and Sarah F.	54	11	45
	49	1	60	Allard	55	1	45
The Manual of the State of the	49	2	50	Do	55	2	65
	49	3	35	Do	55	3	75
	49	5	35 45	Do	55 55	5	170 85
	49	6	45	Do	55	6	35
The second	49	7	45	James H. Whitely	55	7	25
TT 12	49	8	45	Luther S. Allard and Sarah F.	-		
James H. Adams	49	9	45	Allard	55	8 9	35
Mary S. Jack, Martha Ann Jack, Nancy Jane Jack		-		Do	55 55	10	105 35
Jack, Nancy Jane Jack, Joseph Jack, and Luther		E A		Do	55	11	35
S. Allard	49	10	35	Do	55	11	50
James H. Adams	49	11 12	20 20	Do	55	13	50
Do	49	13	35	Do.	55 55	14 15	50
10 No. 140 Name Association	49	14	35	Jacob W. Parker	56	1	70
A STATE OF THE STA	49	15	40	Do	56	2	110
	49	16	40	Do	56	3	110
Cuther S. Allard and Sarah F.	49	17	35	Do Henry Fellheimer	56 56	5	100
Allard	50	1	40	Celia Karatofsky	56	6	185
Henry Hecox	50	2	185	Jacob W. Parker	56	7	65
imeon Shultice	50	3	170	Do	56	8	60
Abba H. Reed	- 50	4	170	Do	56	9	60
Do	50 50	5	170 50	Edmund W. Rucker Leonard S. Ordway	57 57	1 2	50 120
Iary Mason	50	7	70	Do	57	3	160
ames H. Adams	50	8	100	Albert S. Woodcock	57	4	45
Jack, Nancy Jane Jack, Joseph Jack, and Luther		11/1		Emanuel Burgauer	57	5	45
Joseph Jack and Luther		-		Bernard Brown	57	6	190
S. Allard	50	9	45	William Allen	57	7 8	75 170
ames T. Mott	50	10	35	Rosa Loeb	57	9	75
ohn B. Stone	51	1	110	Mary Irons	57	10	95
Do	51	2	85	George Belding	57	11	45
Do	51	3 4	85 95	Michael C. O'Bryan	57	12	110
eorge McAlester	51 51	5	110	Richard J. Fort	57 57	13	70 40
Ienry Rayfoot	51	6	130	George Belding	57	15	85
	51	7	85				

Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal	Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.
George Belding	. 57	17	\$60	Mary R. Rugg	64	6	\$43
Do	57	18	60	William C. Derby	64	7	60
Do	57	19	70	Mary K. Cayce	64	8	75
eonard S. Ordway	57	20	85	Do	64	9	68
Do	57	21	45	Do	64	10	65
Iollie E. Jones	58	1	20	Do	64	11	65
Do	. 58	2	40	35 . 0 .	64	12	65
rthur Parker	58	3	/125	Maria Gocio	64	13	6
Albert Belding	58	4	40	Hattie S. Kimball	64	14	7
Do	58	5	40		64	15	4
Do	58 58	6	45 50	Mary K Cayaa	64	16	6
Do Do	58	8	65	Mary K. Cayce	65	2	14
Do	58	9	60	Do	65	3	14
Do	58	10	50	Do	65	4	10
Do	58	11	40	Do	65	5	7
Do	58	12	40	Do	65	6	8
Do	58	13	40	Do	65	7	13
Alfred Kellum	59	1	145	Do	66	1	7
Do	. 59	2	155	John Walthman	66	2	19
Ionroe Wilson	59	3	70	Adolphus J. Smith	66	3	19
ohn J. Sumpter, guardian	9426	100	100	Samuel Points	66	4	13
James King	59	4	120	Ellen Walker	66	5	21
James King	59	5	70	Herman Moscowitz	66	6	27
D0	59	6	45	Oma Jester	66	7	15
ohn J. Sumpter, guardian	59	7	65	Mary J. Files	67 67	1	6
James King	59	8	75	Do	67	3	6
Ifned Kellum	59	9	100	Do	67	4	5 9
Do	59	10	100	Orlando A. Hobson	. 67	5	38
Binah Quarles	60	1	170	Balsora Pryor, Eugene Pit-	. 01	0	30
Michael Dodd and Susan Dodd.	60	2	65	man, and Carrie Pitman	67	6	4
mma H. Forsythe	60	3	105	Clarissa Bella	. 67	7	4
ames M. Rice	60	4	65	Fredrick Schickle, John W.			1
ackson Matthews	60	5	60	Harrison, and Thomas How-		100	
usan Hunters	60	6	35	ard	67	8	34
Illis Woolman	60	7	43	Owen O'Donnell	67	9	13
fartin P. Harrison	60	8	70	Ned Thompson	67	10	7
	60	9	60	Sallie Wilson	67	11	3.
Iary K. Cayce	61	1	110	Henry Vincent and Andrew	-		
erry Broadenax	61	2	70	Vincent	67	12	17
	61	3	85	Do	67	13	13
ngeline A. Sadler	61	5	170 110	Do	67	14	13
tewart K. Whitengeline A. Sadler	61	6	130	Kate McGrath	67 67	15 16	18 17
Do	61	7	130	Do	67	17	15
Iary K. Cayce	61	8	75	Do	67	18	13
and an one of the second	62	1	85	Do	67	19	12
	62	2	60	Do	67	20	17
	62	3	60	William H. Barry	67	21	5
	62	4	60	George Belding	68	1	20
	62	5	75	Do	68	2	178
homas J. Coggswell	62	6	75	Do	68	3	16
Do	62	7	45	Do	68	4	160
farcus J. D. Rastaura W. Baxter	62	8	95	Do	68	5	173
William Thompson	62	9	185	Do	68 68	6	204
Villiam Thompson	62	11	130 120	Do	68	7	230
aura W. Baxtergnes D. Moore	62 62	12	135	Frank Flynn	68	.8	240
faggie Kimball	62	13	185	George Belding	68	10	350 178
lijah A Shippey	63	1	130	Do	68	11	550
lijah A. Shippeyrnestine F. Rector	63	2	100	Mark M. Hukill	68	12	260
Do	63	3	100	George Belding	68	13	130
Do	63	4	100	Do	68	14	260
Do	63	5	85	Susan E. Clyde and Daniel P.			
milie Frank	63	6	30	Ingrem	68	15	380
rnestine F. Rector	63	7	50	Perry Hull	68	16	400
Iaria Gocio	63	8	50	Annie Bartels and Maggie		0.0	
C. D. T. I	63		30	Bartels	68	17	200
fary E. Linde	63		45	Daniel D. Shipley	68	18	27
Do	63	11	45	William W. Joyce	68	19	330
Do	63	12	45 85	Jonathan Brantley	68	20	360
Do	63.	13	85	Mary J. Jones	69	1	75
Tania Casi-	64	1	65	Dinah Averitt	69	2	170
Aaria Gocio	64	2	60	Do	69	. 3	170
Do	64	3 4	60	Leonidas B. McKenny John S. Davis	69	5	250 250
				TATTOM S. LEAVIN			

Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.	Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.
Amanda E. Place	69	7	\$215	Addie Finley	74	6	\$15
Mary J. Files Fannie G. Williamson	69	8 9	135 170	Aaron Golden	74 74	7 8	70 75
Thomas J. Secoy	69	10	85	Maria L. Smith	74	9	65
William H. Gaines and Maria				Mary J. Ware	74	10	65
Gaines	69	11	85	Emily Stoneham	74	11	35
Do	69 69	12 13	85 85	Mary Ward James Wash	74 74	12	135
Elizur B. Hotchkiss	69	14	150	Jordon Flowers	74	13 14	155 110
Do	69	15	65	James L. Lovett	74	15	. 75
Do	69	16	65	Do	74	16	75
William H. Gaines and Maria	69	17	65	James F. Ritchie	75	1	95
Gaines	69	18	85	Chloe Tall and Osborne Tall	75 75	2 3	45 50
Do	69	19	130	John Greaves	75	4	65
	70	1	35	Chester E. Grover	75	5	65
	70	2	75	George M. French	75	6	110
	70	3 4	60 60	William H. Gaines and Maria	75	7	85
	70	5	60	Gaines	75	8	85
Albert Mann	70	6	60	Do	75	9	100
Do	70	7	60	Do	75	10	85
Do	70	8	60	Do	75	11	85
Wesley Steele, guardian Wes- ley Smith	70	9	40	Do Henry A. Booth	75 75	12 13	85 95
zey Shiron	70	10	40	August Nasse	75	14	75
Caroline Jones	70	11	70	Richard C. Tunstall George W. Prichard James F. Ritchie	75	15	105
	70	12	100	George W. Prichard	75	16	35
Vanis Dans	70	13	35	James F. Ritchie	75	17	135
Lewis Rose	70 70	14 15	45 55	Benjamin F. Jenkins William H. Gaines and Maria	76	1	50
Benjamin H. Harrington	70	16	85	Gaines	76	2	60
Burl Daily	70	17	35	Do	76	. 3	80
Do	70	18	65	Do	76	4	-80
Do	70	19	100	Do	76	5	80
Mary K. Cayce	71 71	$\frac{1}{2}$	120 75	Do	76 76	6 7	90
Do	71	3	75	Do	76	8	80
Do	71	1 4	75	Do	76	9	80
Do	71	5	75	Do	76	10	80
	71	6	70	Do	76	11	80
	71	7 8	60	Do	76	12	80
	71	9	60	The Trustees of the African			
	71	10	60	Methodist Episcopal Church			
	71	11	60	of the city of Hot Springs,	=0	46	1
	71	12 13	70 85	Ark Mary J. Ford	76 76	13 14	150 40
Mary K. Cayce	- 71	14	70	John Wakelin and Alfred	10	14	40
	72	1	70	Wakelin	77	1	375
	72	2	85	George H. Smith	77	2	705
	72	3	70	Kate McGrath	77	3	550
	72 72	5	120 85	Do Bertha Schicker	77	5	550 650
	72	6	65	Julia C. Ferguson	77	6	455
Tames H. Adams	72	7	50	Philip A. Helfrich	77	7	615
Do	72	8	50	Morris Moscowitz	77	8	340
Do	72	0	65	Mary J. C. Lewis	77	9	330
Do	72 72	10	95 95	Henry A. Booth	77	10 11	395 380
	73	1	75	Do	77	12	380
Sosan E. Clyde	73	2	105	William H. Gaines and Maria		12	000
Tames D. Dawson	73	3	85	Gaines	77	13	230
Betty Johnson	73	4	65	George M. Barnes	77	14	300
Eliza Bush	73 73	5	35 95	Margaret E. Dill	77	15 16	240 285
George W. Pritchard and Ben-	- 10	0	90	James Madison and Wesley	- 11	10	200
jamin W. Goode, Julia Mat-		M BE		Steele	77	17	275
thews, Cornelius Goode, Ce-				William H. Gaines and Maria			
cilia Goode, Bessie Davis,				Gaines	-77	18	210
meirs of Simon R. Goode, deceased	73	7	60	Michael Toale and Martha E.	77	10	1 100
Walvina Taylor	73		45	Toale	77	19 20	180 250
Do	73		50	James B. McCaffrey	77	21	200
Westchina Cross	- 74	1	20	William H. Gaines and Maria			200
William Curtis	74		20	Gaines	- 77	22	250
Bobert A. Montgomery	74		35	Do	77	23	250
	74	4	45	Do	- 77	24	250

Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.	Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal,
Trustees of Methodist Epis-				William H. Gaines and Maria			
copal Church South, of Hot	77	90	4000	Gaines	84	1	\$140
Springs, Ark	77	26 27	\$600 300	Do	84 84	2 3	140 175
Elizabeth Sumpter, John J.			300	Do	84	4	175
Sumpter, and will, Sumpter.	77	28	350	Do	84	5	140
Do	77	29 30	180 320	Do	84	6	140
Mary E. Daniels Anna E. Little	77	31	550	Do	85 85	2	220 200
Elizabeth Sumpter, John J.			1	Do	85	3	200
Elizabeth Sumpter, John J. Sumpter, and Wm. Sumpter.	77	32	600	Do Do Samuel W. Fordyce and John	85	4	180
Fannie G. Williamson	78 78	1 2	250 400	M. Peck	85	5	560
William H. Gaines and Maria	10	-	400	Do	85	6	400
Gaines	78	3	400	Saint Luke's Protestant Epis-	-		
Do	78	4	400	copal Church of Hot Springs,			
Do	78 78	5	400 400	Ark	85	7	700
Augusta L. Stitt	78	7	400	John M. Huffman William H. Gaines and Maria	85	8	230
John H. Scroggins and James			100	Gaines	85	9	130
W. Fannion	78	8	450	Do	85	10	430
Samuel H. Ferguson	78	9 10	90	Do	85	11	450
Augusta L. Stitt	78 78	11	70 120	Do	85 85	12 13	500 180
Do	78	12	120	Elizabeth Sumpter, John J.	00	10	700
William H. Gaines and Maria				Sumpter, and William Sump-			
Gaines	78	13	200	ter	86	1	685
Do	78 78	14 15	200 280	Do	86	3	685
	78	16	1, 500	Do	86	4	685 685
Trustees of the Methodist		-	1,000	William H. Gaines and Maria			000
Episcopal Church of Hot	=0'	4.07		Gaines	86	5	685
Springs, Ark	78	17	25	Do	86 86	6 7	685
Gaines	79	1	. 200	Do	86	8	685 685
Do	79	2	130	Do	86	9	685
Do	79	3	130	Albert B. Gaines	86	10	575
William H. Gaines and Maria	79	* 4	375	William H. Gaines and Maria	00	11	050
Gaines	79	5	150	Gaines	86 86	11 12	250 250
Do	79	6	120	Do	86	13	250
Do	79	7	120	Do	86	14	250
Do	79	8 9	120	Do	86 86	15 16	250
Do	79 79	10	120 120	Do Elizabeth Sumpter, John J.	00	10	250
Do	79	11	150	Sumpter, and William Sump-			
Julia S. Carhart	80	1	85	Sumpter, and William Sumpter	86	17	250
Do	80 80	2 3	70	Do	86	18	250
Dutie F. Casey William H. Gaines and Maria	00	9	85	Do	86 86	20	250 250
Gaines.	80	4	-95	Lewis D. Cain	87	1	1, 195
Do	80	5	125	Do	87	2	1,210
Do	80 80	6 7	125 255	George L. Wilson	87 87	3 4	1,040
Julia S. Carhart.	80	8	195	Benjamin W. Goode	87	5	1, 080
Do	80	9	125	Do	87	6	900
James Mungovin	81	1	255	James H. Adams	87	7	660
William H. Gaines and Maria	04	0	rogregia	Do	87	8	660
Gaines	81 81	2 3	105	William Sumpter Elizabeth Sumpter, John J.	87	9	1,100
Do	81	4	120	Sumpter, and William Sump-			
William H. Gaines and Maria				ter	87	10	965
Gaines	81	5	110	Do	87	11 12	965
Do	81	6 7	150 125	Smith Scrogin	87	13	825 410
ames Mungovin	81	8	125	Anna S. Ward	87	14	135
Do	81	9	125	Sarah Hale, Loretta Wood-		1	
Do	81	10	125	cock, John P. Warren, and		18	
William H. Gaines and Maria Gaines	82	1	100	Sarah Hale, Loretta Wood- cock, John P. Warren, and John Boynton Hale, heirs of John C. Hale, deceased	88	1	660
Do	83	1	75	Do	88	2	860
Mary Basco	83	2	65	Do	88	3	790
William H. Gaines and Maria	semile.	O I	maility?	Do	88	4	530
Gaines	83	3	95	Do	88	5	790
Do	83	5	110 85	Do	88	6 7	69 5 72
Do	83	6	60	Do	88	8	66
Do	83	7	45	James Riddell	88	9	595

Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.	Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.
Sarah Hale, Loretta Wood- cock, John P. Warren, and John Boynton Hale, heirs of John C. Hale, deceased Mary J. Cullen.	88.	10 11	\$530 1, 055	William J. Willoughby  Riel E. Jackson  Do  Do  Do  Dwight P. Beldin	90 90 90 90 90	5 6 7 8 9	\$85 35 60 45 26
Sevier C. Law Thacker P. Crim Sarah Hale, Loretta Wood- cock, John P. Hale, and John Boynton Hale, heirs of John C. Hale, deceased	88 88 88	12 13	860 760 825	Riel E. Jackson Do Hugh Walter Barry Do James H. Boswell Robert H. Whish	91 91 91 91 91 91	1 2 3 4 5 6	2: 2: 7: 7: 7: 7: 3:
Do	88 89 89	15 1 2 3	1, 650 360 400 480	Francis Pucket, Louisa Pucket, and Charles Pucket	91 91 91	7 8 9	4:
James M. Ballentine, execu- tor, and Agnes Ballentine, executrix of last will and testament of David Ballen-	89	4	450	George G. Latta and John J. Sumpter Do Do Josephine A. Harris	91 91 91 91 92	10 11 12 1	4 4 4 4 4
tine  Do  Do	89 89 89 89 89	5 6 7 8 9	800 600 500 500 500	Nancy J. Tindall and Alice J. Hitt	92 92 92 92 92	2 3 4 5	60 60 60
Do	89 89 89 89	10 11 12 13 14	500 420 500 540 540		92 92 92 92 92 92	6 7 8 9 10	6666666
Do	89 89 89 89	15 16 17 18 19	610 610 720 790 790		92 92 92 92 92	11 12 13 14 15	4 4 4 4
Jacob W. Parker Henry M. Rector Algernon S. Garnett Henry P. Smith	89 89 89 89	20 21 22 23	350 705 395 420	Nancy A. Tindall and Alice J. Hitt.	92 92 92	16 17 18	4 2
Thaddius Taylor  Henry M. Rector  Do  Do  Do	89 89 89 89	24 25 26 27 28	550 550 550 440 440	George W. Murphy and James B. Wood	92 93 93 93	19 1 2 3	2 7 4 5
Elihu B. Smith Henry M. Rector Do Do Do Do	89 89 89 89	29 30 31 32 33	715 485 420 605 715		93 93 93 93 93	4 5 6 7 8	8
Sarah Hale, Loretta Wood- cock, John P. Warren, and John Boynton Hale, heirs of John C. Hale, deceased	89	34	420		93 93 93 93	9 10 11 12	5 7 4 5
William P. Walsh Do Do Charles N. Rockafellon Do	89 89 89 89	35 36 37 38 39	660 715 585 550 495	08 8 100 201 8 00 3 101 5 50 08 8 101	94 94 94 94 94	1 2 3 4 5	8 8 8
Sarah Hale, Loretta Wood- cock, John P. Warren, and John Boynton Hale, heirs of John C. Hale, deceased	89	40	550	08	94 94 94 94	6 7 8 9	8
Do	89 89 89 89	41 42 43 44 45	550 550 550 550 550	Dwight P. Beldin Jacob W. Parker Do Do	94 95 95 95 95	10 1 2 3 4	10
Do	89 89 99 89	46 47 48 49 50	550 550 550 550 550 550	Do	95 95 95 95 96	5 6 7 8 1	8 8 6
Mary Thompson	89 89 89	51 52 53	100 175 12, 500	Do	96 96 96 96	2 3 4 5	11 11
Dwight P. Beldin William J. Willoughby Do. Do.	90 90 90 90	1 2 3 4	150 100 100 85*	Do	96 96 96	6 7 8	11 6 7

Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.	Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal
acob W. Parker	96	9	\$70	Amanda E. Place	104	3	\$1
Do	96	10	70	7 75 0	104	4	1
Do	96	11	95	James M. Spence	104	5	
Do	97 97	1 2	95 110	Do	104 104	6 7	1
Do	97	3	95	John J. Gillis	105	1	1
Do	97	4	75	George W. Brantley	105	2	1
Do	97	5	60	George W. Brantley	105	3	1
Do	97	6	60	Fredericka Henkel	105	4	
Iichael Conley	97	7	155	Jeremiah Fenton	105	5	2
acob W. Parker	97	8	145	Jacob W. Parker	105	6	
eorge G. Latta and John J.	98	1	60	Do	$\frac{105}{105}$	7 8	1 2
Sumpter	98	2	60	Do	105	9	2
Do	98	3	60	Do	105	10	
ane Baird	98	4	50	Do	105	11	2
eorge G. Latta and John J.				Do	105	12	
Sumpter	98	5	75	Lizzie Bush	106	1	
Do	98	6	75	Do	106	2	
osephine A. Harris	98	7	80	Do	106	3	
eorge G. Latta and John J. Sumpter	98	8	75	Do	106 106	5	
Do	98	9	75 75	Do	106	6	
ane Baird	98	10	45	Do	106	7	
eorge G. Latta and John J.				Do	106	8	
Sumpter	98	11	35	Do	106	9	
Do	98	12	35	Do	106	10	
Iark M. Hukill	98	13	35	Do	106	11	
Telvin L. Wells	99	$\frac{1}{2}$	45	Do Lavinia A. Squire	106	12	
D0	99	3	40 40	John D. Ware	$\frac{106}{107}$	13	4
	99	4	40	William N. Benton	107	2	9
	99	5	40	James M. Spence	107	3	
	99	6	40	Robert G. Davies	107	4	2
	99	7	50	James M. Spence	107	5	1
	99	8	45	Do	107	6	1
M S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	99	.9	35	Nannie G. Houston	107	7	
	99	10 11	25 25	Green Payne	107 107	8 9	- 1
	99	12	25	Chesley R. Guinn	107	10	
Celvin L. Wells	99	13	25	James McCall Searle	108	1	
Do	99	14	35	Do	108	2	
	100	1	.60	Do	108	3	
	100	2	60	James R. Kirkland	108	4	1
	100	3	60	Nannie G. Houston	108	5	
	100 100	5	-60	Do	108	6	1
	100	6	60 40	James M. Spence	108 108	7 8	1
	100	7	40	Do	108	9	1
	100	8	40	Do	108	10	
No. The Posts of Lines	100	9	40	Do	108	11	
Laborat T No.C.	100	10	50	Do	108	12	
ichard J. McCreary	101	1	50	T C M	108	13	
	101	2	30	James C. Trammel	108	14	
oseph Martin	101 101	3 4	30	James McCall Searle	108 109	15	1
Do	101	5	30	James McCall Searle	109	2	
Do	101	6	30	John B. Brooks	109	3	
	101	7	30	Frank Horton	109	4	1
	101	8	30	Do	109	5	in the
	101	9	30	and an both mortal	109	6	
THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	101	10	30	ale heart of the state ale	109	7	
	101 102	11	30 100		109 109	8 9	
	102	2	85	89 42 550	109	10	
me I see J. E. S. January and S. J.	102	3	85	Chesley R. Guinn	110	1	3
The second second second	102	4.	60	Do	110	2	3
	102	5	50	Do	110	3	. 2
Siliam D. T	102	6	75	Annie Duke	110	4	
illiam B. Little	103	1	270	Chesley R. Guinn	110	5	2
ohn W. Shaw	103	2	130	Do	110	6	2
argrate Maxwell, Elizabeth	103	3	170	Do	110	. 7	2
McCarver, Fannie E. Morris				Green Payne	110 110	8 9	1
James T. Morris	103	4	95	Green Payne	110	10	1 3
mes T. Morris	103	5	95	Louisa Smith	110	11	9
omes I. MOTTIS				200			
illiam B. Little ames M. Spence	103	6	130	Shade A. Sammons	110	12	3

Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.	Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal
Shade A. Sammons	111	1	\$100	Mary Jane Whitlock	125	6	\$8
Mary Rogers Elizabeth Sumpter, John J.	111	2	60	Henry M. Rector	125 125	7 8	20 25
Sumpter and William Sump-				Do	125	9	25
ter	111	3	160	Matilda Lipscomb	125	10	20
Do	111	5	125 125	Henry M. Řector	$\frac{125}{125}$	11 12	17 15
Do	111	6	200	Do	125	13	15
Do	111	7	220	William H. Hammond, Fannie			
Do	111	8 9	225 150	G. Blocher, Alice P. Ham- mond, heirs of William Ham-			
Do	112	1	750	mond, deceased	126	1	90
Do	112	2-	750	Do	126	2	40
Do	112 112	3	750 750	Do	126 126	3 4	40
Do	112	5	350	Do	126	5	40
Do	112	6	300	Henry Alexander Leroy D. Beldin	126 126	6 7	92
Do	112 112	7 8	250 350	Michael C. O'Bryan	126	8	71
Do	112	9	160	Abraham Peters	126	9	88
Do	112	10	175	Martha S. Akin	126	10	1,7
Do	112 113	11 1	200 90	William J. McTague Samuel W. Fordyce	126 126	11 12	3
	113	2	90	Mary Jane Whitlock	126	13	
elinda M. Housley	113	3	80	John L. Scranage	126	14	
ter Davis	113 113	5	130 100	George J. Summers	126 126	15 16	1
te granted Garland County	110	0	100	Do	126	17	
for public buildings, &c	114	1		Do	126	18	
	115 115	1 2	70 70	Do	126 127	19	3
	115	3	50	Frank Bertsch	127	2	1
	115	4	50	Belindia T. Elliott	127	3	2
	115 115	5 6	50 50	James K. Lane	127	4	2:
	115	7	50	William W. Fowler, Sophia Fowler, Joseph B. Fowler	127	5	
	115	8	50	Robert F. Fowler, E. L.			
	115 116	9	60 60	Fowler, heirs of Albert M. Fowler	127	6	
	116	2	60	Belindia T. Elliott	127	7	
	116	3	50	Do	127	8	
	116 116	5	50 50	Mattie O'Neal	127 127	9 10	
	116	6	50	mary many	127	11	
	117	1	70		127	12	-
	117	2 3	90 70		$\frac{127}{127}$	13 14	1
	118	1	60		127	15	
	118	2	100	mi D I.W	127 128	16	,
	118 118	3 4	75 50	Thomas Bass and Martha Bass Do	128	1 2	4
	119	1	70	Do	128	3	4
	119	2	70	Do	128 128	5	4
	120 120	1 2	50 50	Michael Conley	128	6	
	120	3	30	Do	128	7	
	120 121	4	1 30 40	George Wisson	128	8	
	121	2	50	Josie Barrett, Mary A. Barrett, Claude T. Barrett, Charles			
	121	3	50	L. Barrett, Charles L. Wall,			
,	122	1 2	40 40	William W. Wall, and Mary	128	9	
The state of the s	122	3	40	L. Wall Edward Fitzgerald, bishop of	120	9	
	122	4	40	Little Rock, for the use of the			
	123	1	60	Catholic Church, Hot	128	10	5
	123	3	60 50	Springs, Ark Henry P. Smith	128	11	3
	123	4	50	Hebe F. Rector	128	12	4
mes Madison and Wesley	101			Peyton Grissom	128	13	1
teele	124 124	1 2	200 225	David H. Harris Henry Robinson	128 128	14 15	
enry M. Rector	124	3	250	Do	128	16	
enry M. Rector	124	4	250	Do	128	17	
chael C. O'Bryan	124 124	5	275 60	Emanuel Burganer	128 128	18	
ry Jane Whitlock	125	1	30	Carrie Broadwell	128	20	
ary Lee	125	2	30	Jemima Pape	128	21	
ary Jane Whitlock	125 125	3 4	150 150	Michael Fagin	128	22 23	
Do	125	5	75	Belle Wilburn	128	24	1 1

Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal	Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal
Mary A. Thompson	128	25	\$30	Gilbert C. Greenway	135	3	\$440
Jupiter Mendenhall	128 128	26 27	50 80	Do	135 135	5	60 50
Enoch Steams	128	28	40	Do	135	6	40
Richard Hill	128 128	29	40	Do	135	7 8	35 30
Rufus BakerIsabell Mormon	128	30 31	40 30		135 135	9	30
Do	128	32	20		135	10	30
Joseph Morrison	129	1	625		135	11	45
María E. Payne Laura McConihi	129 129	2 3	550 506	William H. Lewis and Chris-	135	12	40
Patrick Dugan	129	4	550	tiana Lewis	135	13	50
Richard E. Allen	129	5	800	Henry Haney	135	14	30
Elizabeth Heironimis	129 129	6 7	600 450	George Abbey	135	15	100
King B. Flowers	129	8	550	tiana Lewis	135	16	75
Sarah Flowers	129	9	425	Do	135	17	75
William H. Gaines and Maria	400	10	200	C-1 P 1	135	18	90
Gaines	129 129	10 11	500 450	Carter Brutus	135 135	19 20	180 140
Do	129	12	475	20	135	21	95
DoSamuel W. Vaughan	130	1	. 500	George L. Wilson	135	22	70
James H. Michols	130	2	760	Do	135	23	90
Daniel D. Shipley William H. Gaines and Maria	130	3	530	Do	135 135	24 25	50
Gaines	130	4	630	Do	135	26	80
Do	130	5	580	Hiram A. Whittington	135	27	150
Charlotte John	130	6 7	580	William J. McTague	135	28 29	10 85
Jacob L. B. Cower	130 130	8	1, 040 640	William H. Hollinger Martha V. Vaughn	135 136	1	150
Joseph W. Kimball	130	9	550	Do	136	2	50
John J. Sumpter	130	10	480		136	3	70
Do	130 130	11 12	620 620	Robert Taylor	136 136	5	100 40
William H. Gaines and Maria	100	14	650	Trustees of the Methodist	100		10
Gaines	130	13		Trustees of the Methodist Episcopal Church (Wesley			
Mary Thompson	131	1	250	Chapel) of Hot Springs, Ark.	136	6 7	40
Do	131 131	2 3	225 225	Mary A. Thompson George N. Johnson	136 136	8	50 100
Do	131	4	300	Rina Basil	136	9	70
Do	131	5	450	Armstead J. Handy	136	10	100
Isaac G. Bailey	131 131	6 7	475 900	Henry Ware	136 136	11 12	90 70
Elizabeth Sumpter, John J.	131	8	800	Do	136	13	40
Elizabeth Sumpter, John J. Sumpter, and Wm. Sumpter.				John Finney	136	14	30
Do	131	9	200 200	Do	136 136	15 16	20 35
Do	131 131	10 11	200	at a second	136	17	40
Do	131	12	225	11.8	136	18	80
Hiram A. Whittington	132	1	200	11 100	136	19	80 40
Michael Meagher	132 132	2 3	125 125	Sarah A. Manuel	136 136	20 21	40
Dollie A. McLeod	132	4	125	Martha V. Vaughn	136	22	100
Dollie A. McLeod. William N. Benton.	132	5	125	Thomas J. Evans	137	1	50
Emanuel Burganer Patrick J. Delaney	132 132	6 7	250 125	Ann Keaton	137 137	2 3	40
Lucinda Davis	132	8	125	Do	137	4	40
James C. Reilly	132	9	250	Do	137	5	40
Martha C. Howard	132	10	125	Rina Basil	137	6 7	50 20
Mary E. Reid	132 132	11 12	$125 \\ 125$	Alexander Peoples	137 137	8	35
Wm. Abbey and David Abbey.	132	13	140	ДО	137	9	35
Henry Haney	132	14	140	George Woolridge	137	10	40
Do Helena Huffman	132 132	15 16	130 100	William H. Green	137 137	11 12	20 80
Trustees of the Roanoke Ban-	192	10	100	william II. Green	137	13	45
tist Church of Hot Springs.				George Bryant	137	14	70
Ark. (colored)	132	17	75	Do	137	15	40 25
Amy C. Nelson	133 133	1 2	600	George Woolridge	137	16 17	50
Fannie B. Latta	133	2 3	400	Do	137	18	60
Emma M. Elliott	133	4	450	Rina Basil	137	19	65
James D. Fox Francis B. Southerland	133	5	330	Alexander Peoples	137	20 21	35 15
Michael Shea and Andrew	133	6	600	Charles Patton	137 137	21 22	15
Brnon	134	1	100	Albert L. Cuthbert	137	23	50
James F. Kennedy. Patrick McGowan	134	2	100	Henry Battle	137	24	70
Alfred Whittington.	134	3	450	Kate McGrath	137 138	25	55 30
TELEVICE TY HILUUHINE HOHE	135	1 2	315	Ann Keaton	138	2	10

Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal	Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.
Charles Wilson	138 138	3.4	\$15 40	James Barnes	141 142	4	\$200 150
Do	138	5	40	William F. Housley Charles N. Rockafellon Elias W. Rector	142	2	600
Charles Wilson	138 138	6 7	40	Daniel B. Dver	142 142	3 4	350 150
James Harris	138 138 138	8 9 10	30 15 20	Daniel B. Dyer Michael C. O'Bryan Annie C. Menninger, Adin H. Whitmore, and Reuben F.	142	5	60
	138	11	20	Harriott	142	6 7	60
	138 138	12	20 20	James Barnes	142 142	8	40
	138	14	20 20	Do	142	9	40
	138 138	15 16	20	Do	142 142	11	55
	138	17	20	Edward R. Foreman	142	12	90
	138 138	18 19	20 30	Andrew Brown	142 142	13 14	70 110
	138	20	30		142	15	60
	138 138	21 22	30 30	His little was to be the	142 142	16 17	50 40
Ann Keaton	138	23	20		142	18	40
Do	138	24	25	Managarat C Tandan	142 143	19	40 60
Lewis Buchanan Henry Robinson and Maggie	139	1	40	Margaret C. Jordon	143	2	250
Robinson	139	2	80	Edward R. Foreman	143	3 4	175
George Bryant	139 139	3 4	60	Martin M. Lowrey	143 143	5	175 30
	139	5	50	Edward R. Foreman	143	6	70
	139 139	6 7	40 30	Do	143 143	7 8	70 50
	139	8	25	Do	143	9	50
	139 139	9	25 35	James Barnes	143 144	10	30 180
	139	11	40	Mollie B. Hood	144	2	85
N	139	12	40	Ann R. Claybrook	144	3	260
Henry Robinson and Maggie	139	13	30	Thaddeus Taylor	144 144	5	170 130
Robinson	139	14	30	Do	144	6	100
Alfred Whittington	140 140	$\frac{1}{2}$	300 1, 080	Ann R. Claybrook	144 144	7 8	60 25
Hiram A. Whittington	140	3	1,000	Fannie A. Hawkins	144	9	20
Albert Brown	140	4	300		144	10 11	30 40
John I. Adams, Jay L. Adams, William H. Renard, and Edward C. Adams			1000000		144	12	30
and Edward C. Adams	140 140	5 6	200 240	Jane Baird and August Hitz- field	144	13	30
Almon Brooks	140	7	510	Fannie A. Hawkins	144	14	30
Samuel G. Colburn	140	8 9	50	Julia Candler	144	15 16	25 20
Harrison M. Bussell Edgar A. Nickels	140 140	10	30 20	Oglesby Prophitt	144	17	15
Nancy J. Felkel	140	11	25	John P. Evans	144	18	50
Oglesby S. Prophitt	140 140	12 13	40	Elizabeth Irvin	144 144	19 20	30 40
	140	14	40	Thompson C. Pepkin	144	21	90
John Walsh	140	15	40	Mary Harrison	144 144	22 23	200
field	140	16	40	Samuel G. Colburn	144	24	60
	140 140	17 18	40 40	John Finney	145 145	1 2	40 50
Charles Bryan	140	19	50	Od and the later process and the	145	3	35
Do	140	20 21	50	100	145	5	35 30
	140 140	22	50 60	And State of the second	145 145	6	25
	140	23	60	11 165	145	7	40
	140 140	24 25	100	16. 61 181	145 145	8 9	75 80
Villiam H. Hollinger	140	26	60	OT THE TOTAL OF THE PARTY OF TH	145	10	90
Hiram A. Whittington	140 140	27 28	175 120	DR I Sel	145 145	11 12	100 100
	140	29	25	Henry Haney	145	13	40
	140	30	25	Benjamin F. Bunch	146 146	1 2	60 70
	140 140	31 32	25 25	Thomas W. Gibbs	146	3	140
	140	33	25	Jane L. Reed	146	4	130
Michael C. O'Bryan.	140 141	34	25 500	61 8 861 m.m.	146 146	5 6	130 130
Annie P. Menninger, Adin H.		Mar I	sould .	153 9 160	146	7	75
Whitmore, and Reuben F. Harriott	141	9	500	08 11 88	146 146	8 9	50 30
ames Barnes	141	2 3	500	UGE L EGD L	146	10	40

Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal	Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal
Jane L. Reed	146	11	\$40	Phineas Barnes	153	2	\$13
Do	146	12	50	Do	153	3	1
Do	146	13	60	Robert B. Jaggers	154	1	1
Do Charles Fisher	146 147	14	80	George J. Summers	154 154	2 3	
Gracie Winsor	147	2	40	John F. Lowrey	154	4	
Joseph O. Pool	147	3	30	George B. French	154	5	
Jane Fisher Nellie D. Mitchell	148	1	. 50	Ophelia Chairs	154	6	
Nellie D. Mitchell	148	2 3	150	William F. Rose	154	7	
Do	148 148	3 4	110 110	Jane E. Morrow	154 154	8 9	1
Jane Fisher	148	5	30	Noah A. Brown, Sterling	194	9	
Do	148	6	40	Brown, Isaac A. Brown	154	10	1
Do	148	7	50	Angeline Mason			
Do	148	8	45	Shade A. Sammons	154	11	
Do Kate D. Franklin	148 148	9	35 35	Mary A. Joyce	155	1 2	
Jane Fisher	148	11	30	Walter A. Moore	155 155	3	
Do	148	12	40	Bessie G. Davis	155	4	
Do	148	13	40	James Riddell	155	5	
Do	148	14	40	Cassius M. Sage	155	6	
Kate D. Franklin	148 149	15	30 80	Omer T. Porter	155 155	7 8	
	149	2	70	Eva Hunster	155	9	
Laura A. Turner	149	3	75	Walter A. Moore	155	10	
Mary C. Cole	149	4	45	Do	155	11	
Charles H. Coe	149	5	25	Do	155	12	
Mary A. Griffith	149 149	6 7	150 60	William H. Watt	155 155	13	
Do	149	8	40	Ophelia Chairs	156	14	2
Do	149	9	40	Aaron H. Yates	156	2	1
aura A. Turner	149	10	20	Robert S. Smith	156	3	
	149	11	20	Mattie E. Passmore	156	4	
	149 149	12 13	20 30	Emeline Wallace	156 156	5	1
Dennis C. Lynch	150	1	20	William Millinet	156	7	1
Phineas Barnes	150	. 2	25		156	8	
Do	150	3	65		156	9	
Do	150	4	50	Tag C Adamson	156	10	
Do	150 150	5	50 60	Ida C. Adamson	156 156	11 12	
	150	6 7	50	Do	156	13	
	150	8	20		156	14	
Joseph Wetzler	150	9	25	Prosper H. Ellsworth	156	15	
	$\frac{150}{150}$	10	45 50	Ida C. Adamson	156 157	16	
the state of the s	150	12	55	Tua C. Adamson	157	1 2	
Phineas Barnes	150	13	65		157	3	
saac L. Butterfield	150	14	35	Prosper H. Ellsworth	157	4	
Francesca Castle	150	15	60		157	5	
Patrick J. Ledwidge	151 151	1 2	225 125	Mary Reid	157 157	6 7	
amuel W. Fordyce	151	3	195	Mary Reid	157	8	
Do	151	4	125	Washington H. Leay	157	9	
ohn W. Clary	151	5	190	William J. Terry	157	10	
Mary Baker	151	6	110	William Haskins	157	11	
Do	151 151	7 8	110 60	Do	157 157	12 13	
Do	151	9	50	Prosper H. Ellsworth	157	14	
	151	10	100	Patrick J. Ledwidge	158	1	
Constitution of the control of the c	151	11	100	Mary A. Griffith	158	2	
Azariah Livingston	151	12	70	Do	158	3	
Ohn W. Clary	151 151	13 14	20 30	Patrick J. Ledwidge	158 158	5	
owell E. Hogge	151	15	70	Do	158	6	
attick o. Ledwidge	151	16	50	Do	158	7	
D0	151	17	70	Do	158	8	
Tate D. Franklin rancesca Castle.	152	1 2	90	Do	158	9	
Do	$\frac{152}{152}$	3	160 160	Mary A. Griffith	159 159	1 2	
Do	$\frac{152}{152}$	4	160	Patrick J. Ledwidge	159	3	
saac L. Butterfield	152	5	100	GS 16 041	159	4	
Jennis C. Lynch	152	6			159	5	
Margaret Meagher. Caroline P. Bell	152	7	75		159	- 6	
100	$\frac{152}{152}$	8 9	75 160		159 159	7 8	
John Harrison	$\frac{152}{152}$	10	50	Phineas Barnes	160	1	
John Harrison Nicholas M. Bell Phineas Barnes	152	11	80	Do	160	2	
	153	1	150	Do	100	3	

Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.	Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.
Phineas Barnes	160 160	4 5	\$30 40	Marx Horn	169 169	1 2	\$
Do	160	6	35		169	3	
	160	7	30		169	4	
	160	8	30	Marietta M. Holt	169	5	
Vellie D. Mitchell	161	1 2	75	Do	169	6	
ane Fisher	161 161	3	40 40		169 169	7 8	
Do	161	4	40		170	1	
Do	161	5	25	85 /	170	2	
Do	161	6	40		170	3	
lement I. Shannan	161	7	50		170	4	
ane Fisher, Charles H. Fisher, John E. Fisher, Mary B.					170 170	5 6	
Hood	161	8	40		170	7	
Hood Do	161	9	50		170	8	
D0	161	10	40		170	9	
ane Fisherharles H. Fisher	162 162	1 2	35 35	John M. Kinkead	170 170	10 11	
ane Fisher, Charles H. Fish-	102		00	Andrew Ginemi	171	1	
ane Fisher, Charles H. Fisher, John E. Fisher, Mary B.			100	Alternative Selection and Selection	- 171	2	
Hood	162	3	70		171	3	
Do	162 162	4	60		171	4	
Do	162	5 6	30 40	John M. Kinkead	171 172	5	
	162	7	30	Timothy J. Bryan	-172	2	
	162	8	30		172	3	
ane Fisher, Charles H. Fish-					172	4	
er, John E. Fisher, Mary B. Hood	162	9	40		172 172	5	
Do	162	10	50		172	7	
Do	163	1	40		172	. 8	
Do	163	2	40		172	9	
	163 163	3	40		172	10	
	163	5	25 25		172 172	11 12	
	163	6	20		172	13	
	163	7	25		173	1	
arx Horn	163 163	8	30		173	2	
ewis Coleman	163	9	30 40		173 173	3 4	
ane Fisher, Charles H. Fisher, John E. Fisher, Mary B.	100	10	40		174	1	
er, John E. Fisher, Mary B.					174	2 3	
Hood	163	11	20		174	3	
	164 164	1 2	40 30		174 174	5	
	164	3	20		174	6	
	164	4	25		174	7	
1 707 4 1	164	5	20		174	8	
oseph Wetzler Do	164 164	6 7	30 30		175	$\frac{1}{2}$	
Do	164	8	30		175 175	3	
	165	1	40		175	4	
	165	2	30		175	5	
and the second second	165 165	3	25 25		175	6	
	165	5	25 35	188 12 - 120	175 175	7 8	
	165	6	40		175	9	
	165	7	30		175	10	
	165	8	25	George Bryant	176	1	
seph Wetzler	165 166	9	25 30		176 176	2 3	
	166	2	35		176	4	
	166	3	40		.176	5	
	166	4	35		176	6	
seph Wetzler	166 166	5	35	Walter A. Moore	176	7	
Do	167		30 25	Do	177 177	2	
main H. Pilgrim	197	1 2 3	25	Do	177	3	
Do	167		30	Do	177	4	
	167	4	30		177	5	
	168 168	1 2	25 20	20 4 20	177 177	6 7	
191	168	3	30	2 ESI	177	8	
	168	4 5	30	08	177	9	
	168		40		177	10	
	168	6	30		177	11	

Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.	Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.
Valter A. Moore	177	13	\$20	Joshua B. Crawford	183	9	*
Do	177	14	20		183	10	- 4
Do Do	177 177	15 16	20 20		183 183	11 12	
eorge W. Mann	178	1	35		184	1	
Do	178	2 3	35	Jackson D. Page	184	2	
	178	3	35	Do	184	3	
ohn B. Scott	178	4	35	20 20 20 20 2	184	4	
Do	178	5	35	Peggy Mulholland	184 184	5	
Valter A. Moore	178 178	6 7	25 25		184	7	
	178	8	10	Eliza A. Robesion	185	i	
	178	9	25	Do	185	2	
	178	10	20	Do	185	3	
alter A. Moore	178	11	30	Do	185	4	
Do	179 179	1 2	35 40	John Wilson Haley	185	5	
ames S Kemp	179	3	70	Eliza A. Robesion	185 185	7	
ames S. Kemp	179	4	40	Jacob Kempner	185	8	1
Do	179	5	35	Eliza A. Robesion	185	9	
	179	6	30	Do	185	10	
	179	7	30	Fannie Murphy	186	1	1
	179	8	35	Wm. Passmore, Daniel B. Dyer,	100	0	1
	179 179	9	15 15	and Charles F. Larrabee	186 186	2 3	1
	179	11	25	Henry A. Booth Lewis T. Lynch	186	4	
	179	12	20	Joseph Kempner	186	5	
alter A. Moore	179	13	20	Joseph Kempner Samuel C. Pyeatt Peggy Mulholland	186	6	
Do	179	14	20	Peggy Mulholland	187	1	
Do	180	1	125		187	2	
Do	180	2	80		187	3	
Do	180 180	3 4	30 25		187 187	4 5	
Do	180	5	20		187	6	
abell Mormon	181	1	25		187	7	
ufus Baker.	181	2	40	Eliza A. Robesion	188	1	
aswell Murphyewis H. King	181	3	30	James Porter	188	2	
ewis H. King	181	4	50	Mary Steel	188	3	
erriby Rogers	181 181	5 6	$\frac{40}{25}$		188 188	5	
ohn Čarter	181	7	15		188	6	
ALCOH MARKETON THE STATE OF THE	181	8	15		188	7	
ufus Baker	182	1	100		188	8	
aria Wakelin	182	2	30		188	9	
ydia Robbins, John W. Ech-					188	10	
ols, Lusetta Harvey, and Augusta Echols	182	3	110		188 188	11 12	
ohn R. Gibbs	182	4	65		188	13	
arrison T. Rook	182	5	40		188	14	
ornelia Lewis	182	6	70	James Porter	188	15	
ohn Henderson	182	7	35		189	1	
annah Robinson	182 182	8 9	20		189	2	
enry Grimm ydia Robbins, John W. Ech-	102	9	45		189 189	3 4	
ois, Lusetta Harvey, and					189	5	
Augusta Echols	182	10	40	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	189	6	
eorge W. Brown	182	11	100	Walter A. Moore	190	1	
aroline Washington	182	12	100	Do	190	2	
annah Alexander ydia Robbins, John W. Ech-	182	13	30		190 190	3	
ols, Lusetta Harvey, and				Walter A. Moore	190	1	
Augusta Echols	182	14	50	Do	191	2	
ulus Baker	182	15	40	Do	191	3	
ydia Robbins, John W. Ech					191	4	
Augusta Fahal	100	10	0.5	Tag C Adamag	191	5	
ols, Lusetta Harvey, and Augusta Echols.	182 182	16	35 30	Ida C. Adamson	192 192	1 2	
Do	182	18	35	100	192	3	
Do	182	19	20	John J. Wilson	192	4	
ufus Baker	182	20	40	John J. Wilson Alfred Whittington	192	5	
	183	1	15	Mary M. Ross	192	6	
elia Thrasher	183	2	25		192	7	
annah Alexanderatsey Jones .	183	3	30		193	1	
Obert E. Parker	183 183	5	20 40		193 193	2 3	
nn Higdon	183	6	40		193	4	
oshua B. Crawford	183	7	30	John F. Lowrey	193	5	
	183	8	25	U. T. C.	193	6	

Schedule of claimants and of lots and blocks, &c.-Continued.

Name.	Block.	Lot.	Appraisal.	Name.	Block.	Bot.	Appraisal.
Alfred Whittington	193	7	\$20	Robert G. Davies, Elias W.	The same		
Do	193	8	25	Rector, and Henry M. Rec-			
	193	9	20	tor, jr	195	1	\$100
	193	10	25	Do	195	2	10
	193	11	15	Do	195	3	5
	193	12	20	Do	195	4	2
	193	13	15	Do	195	5	2
	193	14	10	Do	195	6	2
	193	15	10	Do	195	7	2
	193	16	20	Do	196	1	1
	193	17	15	Do	196	2	1
	193	18	15	Do	196	3	1
	194	1	100	Do	196	4	1
	194	2	300	Do	196	- 5	1
	194	3	300	Do	196	6	1
	194	4	300	Do	196	7	1
	194	5	300	Do	196	8	1
	194	6	300	Do	196	9	1
	194	7	300	West Mountain			26, 90
	194	8	300	North Mountain			22, 40
	194	9	100	Sugar-loaf Mountain			12, 90